

Eurogroup for Animals, Wolf Protection Research – Poland February, 2020

Methodology: Savanta ComRes interviewed 1,049 adults in Poland aged 18+ online between 11th and 17th February 2020. Data were weighted to be nationally representative of adults aged 18+ by age, gender and region. Savanta ComRes is a member of the British Polling Council and abides by its rules. Full tables at www.comresglobal.com

All press releases or other publications must be checked with Savanta ComRes before use. Savanta ComRes requires 48-hours to check a press release unless otherwise agreed.

For information about commissioning research please contact info@comresglobal.com or call +44 (0)20 7871 8660

To register for Pollwatch, featuring commentary and insight from the Savanta ComRes team, please email: pollwatch@comresglobal.com

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2 Summary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Strongly agree	Tend to agree	Tend to disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know	NET: Agree	NET: Disagree
Unweighted Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	1047 100%	693 66%	310 30%	21 2%	16 2%	8 1%	1002 96%	37 4%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	1047 100%	707 67%	282 27%	30 3%	14 1%	13 1%	989 94%	45 4%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	1047 100%	581 55%	376 36%	43 4%	13 1%	33 3%	957 91%	56 5%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	1047 100%	472 45%	412 39%	58 6%	25 2%	79 8%	884 84%	83 8%
Wolves should be strictly protected	1047 100%	507 48%	373 36%	92 9%	22 2%	53 5%	880 84%	114 11%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	1047 100%	387 37%	414 40%	139 13%	50 5%	56 5%	801 77%	189 18%
Wolves pose a risk to people	1047 100%	144 14%	305 29%	388 37%	153 15%	57 5%	450 43%	541 52%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	1047 100%	117 11%	308 29%	308 29%	149 14%	164 16%	426 41%	458 44%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	1002	75	56	56	27	65	85	136	23	55	29	61	122	33
	96%	95%	98%	95%	97%	95%	94%	94%	83%	96%	89%	99%	97%	94%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	989	74	53	57	27	65	84	135	25	52	30	58	123	32
	94%	94%	93%	96%	97%	95%	92%	94%	91%	90%	91%	94%	98%	92%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	957	71	50	55	27	65	79	132	22	52	29	56	119	30
	91%	90%	88%	93%	97%	95%	87%	92%	79%	90%	89%	91%	95%	86%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	884	68	47	52	23	59	72	127	22	44	27	53	110	28
	84%	85%	82%	88%	82%	86%	79%	88%	79%	76%	83%	86%	88%	81%
Wolves should be strictly protected	880	66	51	53	21	57	71	120	24	43	30	52	114	27
	84%	83%	90%	90%	75%	83%	78%	84%	87%	74%	92%	84%	91%	78%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	801	62	43	48	22	44	66	121	18	43	24	43	106	25
	77%	78%	76%	81%	79%	64%	73%	84%	66%	74%	74%	69%	84%	73%
Wolves pose a risk to people	450	31	27	17	12	31	40	63	14	30	14	27	53	21
	43%	39%	47%	29%	45%	45%	44%	44%	50%	52%	44%	44%	43%	62%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	426	34	24	36	9	29	28	63	7	22	15	26	45	11
	41%	42%	42%	60%	34%	43%	31%	44%	25%	38%	46%	42%	36%	31%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	1002	39	94	46	478	524	91	177	194	152	177	211	268	347	388
	96%	100%	100%	98%	96%	96%	91%	92%	96%	97%	98%	98%	91%	96%	98%
									ab	ab	ab			a	a
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	989	37	94	43	480	509	87	174	192	149	178	209	261	342	386
	94%	93%	100%	92%	96%	93%	87%	90%	95%	95%	98%	97%	89%	95%	98%
			ac						a	a	ab	ab		a	ab
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	957	36	91	44	468	489	80	166	183	147	173	208	247	330	381
	91%	90%	97%	94%	94%	89%	81%	86%	91%	93%	96%	97%	84%	92%	96%
					b				a	ab	ab	abc		a	ab
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	884	30	82	40	432	452	65	158	178	135	157	191	223	313	348
	84%	77%	87%	86%	86%	83%	65%	82%	88%	86%	87%	89%	76%	87%	88%
								a	a	a	a	ab		a	a
Wolves should be strictly protected	880	32	79	41	408	472	85	166	185	128	142	174	251	313	316
	84%	80%	85%	87%	82%	86%	86%	86%	91%	82%	79%	81%	86%	87%	80%
						a			def					c	
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	801	32	70	34	398	403	77	140	153	118	141	173	217	271	314
	77%	80%	74%	73%	80%	74%	77%	72%	75%	75%	78%	81%	74%	75%	79%
					b							b			
Wolves pose a risk to people	450	17	36	15	199	251	53	110	74	63	71	78	163	137	149
	43%	43%	38%	32%	40%	46%	53%	57%	37%	40%	39%	36%	56%	38%	38%
						a	cef	cdef					bc		
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	426	16	43	17	266	160	44	78	76	63	69	95	123	139	164
	41%	40%	46%	36%	53%	29%	45%	40%	37%	40%	38%	44%	42%	39%	42%
					b										

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Diet							
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	1002 96%	819 96%	166 96%	105 95%	10 84%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	989 94%	808 95%	163 94%	104 94%	10 84%	16 100%	19 100%	13 87%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	957 91%	779 91%	160 92%	100 91%	10 84%	16 100%	18 94%	15 94%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	884 84%	714 84%	154 89%	99 89%	10 76%	16 100%	19 100%	10 66%
Wolves should be strictly protected	880 84%	713 84%	154 89%	97 88%	10 76%	15 94%	19 100%	14 87%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	801 77%	652 77%	135 78%	83 75%	8 68%	14 87%	16 85%	13 87%
Wolves pose a risk to people	450 43%	370 43%	72 42%	48 44%	7 53%	6 37%	5 26%	7 42%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	426 41%	333 39%	84 48%	50 46%	6 47%	7 44%	11 59%	9 61%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	83 8%	6 7%	3 5%	3 5%	4 14%	4 6%	9 10%	10 7%	1 4%	6 10%	5 14%	5 8%	7 5%	4 11%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	37 4%	4 5%	1 2%	2 4%	1 3%	2 3%	5 5%	7 5%	2 8%	2 4%	4 11%	1 1%	4 3%	1 3%
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	56 5%	4 5%	6 11%	4 7%	- -	2 3%	8 9%	6 4%	3 12%	5 8%	4 11%	5 8%	4 3%	2 5%
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	45 4%	1 1%	2 3%	2 4%	1 3%	2 3%	7 8%	8 5%	- -	5 8%	3 9%	4 6%	3 2%	2 5%
Wolves pose a risk to people	541 52%	44 55%	28 49%	40 68%	14 48%	35 51%	43 47%	75 52%	13 45%	25 44%	16 50%	32 52%	64 51%	13 38%
Wolves should be strictly protected	114 11%	8 10%	4 7%	2 4%	3 10%	8 11%	15 16%	19 13%	1 4%	9 16%	3 8%	7 11%	8 6%	6 19%
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	458 44%	33 41%	22 39%	15 26%	13 48%	31 45%	45 50%	61 43%	15 54%	28 48%	15 46%	26 42%	58 47%	20 58%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	189 18%	14 18%	12 20%	6 11%	6 21%	17 25%	17 19%	18 13%	6 21%	14 24%	8 24%	13 22%	13 10%	8 22%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Significance Level: 95%															
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	83 8%	6 16%	6 6%	5 11%	38 8%	45 8%	21 21%	22 11%	11 5%	10 7%	9 5%	11 5%	42 14%	21 6%	20 5%
							bcdef	cef					bc		
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	37 4%	-	-	1 2%	15 3%	21 4%	8 8%	14 7%	6 3%	3 2%	2 1%	4 2%	22 7%	9 3%	6 1%
							def	cdef					bc		
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	56 5%	1 3%	1 1%	2 4%	21 4%	35 6%	13 13%	19 10%	14 7%	4 3%	4 2%	2 1%	32 11%	18 5%	6 2%
							def	def	ef				bc	c	
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	45 4%	3 7%	-	3 6%	17 3%	28 5%	10 10%	16 8%	9 4%	4 3%	2 1%	4 2%	26 9%	13 4%	6 1%
							def	def					bc	c	
Wolves pose a risk to people	541 52%	20 51%	49 52%	30 64%	285 57%	255 47%	41 42%	72 37%	112 55%	85 54%	102 56%	128 60%	114 39%	197 55%	230 58%
									ab	b	ab	ab		a	a
Wolves should be strictly protected	114 11%	6 15%	10 10%	6 13%	71 14%	43 8%	8 8%	21 11%	11 5%	16 10%	26 14%	32 15%	29 10%	27 7%	58 15%
											c	c			b
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	458 44%	17 44%	37 40%	20 43%	166 33%	292 53%	45 45%	100 52%	94 47%	67 43%	77 43%	74 34%	145 50%	162 45%	151 38%
									f	f			c		
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	189 18%	7 18%	21 22%	10 22%	81 16%	109 20%	17 17%	43 22%	39 19%	30 19%	32 18%	29 13%	60 20%	69 19%	61 16%
															f

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Diet							
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country	83 8%	66 8%	14 8%	7 7%	2 16%	- -	- -	4 28%
Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares	37 4%	27 3%	6 4%	4 4%	2 16%	- -	- -	- -
Wolves should be accepted to live in the country	56 5%	42 5%	12 7%	9 8%	2 16%	- -	1 6%	- -
Wolves have a right to exist in the wild	45 4%	33 4%	9 5%	5 4%	2 16%	- -	- -	2 13%
Wolves pose a risk to people	541 52%	431 51%	96 55%	60 54%	6 47%	8 50%	13 69%	9 58%
Wolves should be strictly protected	114 11%	94 11%	13 8%	9 9%	3 24%	1 6%	- -	- -
I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf	458 44%	384 45%	64 37%	41 38%	7 53%	6 38%	7 37%	3 21%
Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention	189 18%	154 18%	29 17%	19 18%	4 32%	1 6%	3 15%	2 13%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_1. The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	472 45%	42 52%	27 47%	29 49%	13 45%	33 48%	34 38%	77 53%	10 38%	22 37%	16 49%	25 40%	56 44%	12 36%
Tend to agree (3.0)	412 39%	26 33%	20 36%	23 39%	10 37%	26 38%	38 41%	50 35%	11 41%	22 38%	11 34%	29 46%	55 44%	16 45%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	58 6%	4 5%	3 5%	2 3%	4 14%	2 3%	6 6%	7 5%	- -	5 8%	4 12%	3 5%	5 4%	3 8%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	25 2%	2 2%	- -	1 2%	- -	2 3%	3 4%	3 2%	1 4%	1 2%	1 3%	2 3%	2 1%	1 3%
Don't know	79 8%	6 8%	7 12%	4 7%	1 3%	5 7%	10 11%	7 5%	5 17%	8 14%	1 3%	4 6%	8 7%	3 8%
NET: Agree	884 84%	68 85%	47 82%	52 88%	23 82%	59 86%	72 79%	127 88%	22 79%	44 76%	27 83%	53 86%	110 88%	28 81%
NET: Disagree	83 8%	6 7%	3 5%	3 5%	4 14%	4 6%	9 10%	10 7%	1 4%	6 10%	5 14%	5 8%	7 5%	4 11%
Base for stats	968	73	50	55	27	64	81	137	23	50	32	58	117	32
Mean Score	3.38	3.47	3.47	3.45	3.32	3.42	3.27	3.46	3.36	3.30	3.33	3.31	3.40	3.24
Standard Deviation	.715	.715	.609	.673	.728	.720	.775	.702	.740	.742	.806	.722	.650	.745
Standard Error	.023	.082	.085	.090	.138	.094	.091	.059	.161	.113	.140	.093	.059	.130
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.03	.01	.02	.01	*	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_1. The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0) 45%	17 42%	43 46%	18 39%	241 48%	232 42%	35 36%	83 43%	97 48% a	67 42%	88 49% a	102 48% a	119 40%	164 46%	190 48% a
Tend to agree	(3.0) 39%	14 35%	39 41%	22 46%	191 38%	221 40%	29 30%	75 39%	81 40%	69 44% a	69 38% a	89 41% a	105 36%	149 42%	158 40%
Tend to disagree	(2.0) 6%	4 10%	5 5%	3 7%	24 5%	35 6%	16 16% bcdef	14 7%	7 4%	6 4%	7 4%	8 4%	30 10%	13 4%	15 4%
Strongly disagree	(1.0) 2%	2 6%	1 1%	2 4%	14 3%	11 2%	4 4%	8 4%	3 2%	5 3%	2 1%	3 1%	13 4% c	8 2%	5 1%
Don't know	79 8%	3 7%	6 6%	2 4%	30 6%	49 9%	14 14% bcf	13 7%	14 7%	11 7%	15 8%	12 6%	27 9%	25 7%	27 7%
NET: Agree	884 84%	30 77%	82 87%	40 86%	432 86%	452 83%	65 65%	158 82% a	178 88% a	135 86% a	157 87% a	191 89% ab	223 76%	313 87% a	348 88% a
NET: Disagree	83 8%	6 16%	6 6%	5 11%	38 8%	45 8%	21 21% bcdef	22 11% cef	11 5%	10 7%	9 5%	11 5%	42 14% bc	21 6%	20 5%
Base for stats	968	37	88	45	470	498	86	180	189	146	166	202	266	334	368
Mean Score	3.38	3.22	3.41	3.25	3.40	3.35	3.12	3.30	3.44 ab	3.36 a	3.46 ab	3.44 a	3.24	3.41 a	3.45 a
Standard Deviation	.715	.888	.658	.772	.724	.706	.897	.797	.653 ab	.707 a	.638 ab	.645 a	.833	.677 a	.641 a
Standard Error	.023	.146	.069	.113	.034	.031	.100	.059	.046	.062	.049	.044	.051	.037	.033
Error variance	*	.02	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_1. The return of wolves is beneficial for the natural environment and biodiversity of the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		Total	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	472 45%	371 44%	95 54% a	60 54% a	7 55%	10 61%	11 57%	7 45%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	412 39%	343 40%	59 34%	39 35%	3 21%	6 39%	8 43%	3 21%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	58 6%	49 6%	7 4%	2 2%	1 9%	- -	- -	4 28%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	25 2%	17 2%	6 4%	6 5% a	1 7%	- -	- -	- -
Don't know		79 8%	72 8% b	6 3%	4 4%	1 7%	- -	- -	1 6%
NET: Agree		884 84%	714 84%	154 89%	99 89%	10 76%	16 100%	19 100%	10 66%
NET: Disagree		83 8%	66 8%	14 8%	7 7%	2 16%	- -	- -	4 28%
Base for stats		968	780	167	106	12	16	19	15
Mean Score		3.38	3.37	3.44	3.44	3.34	3.61	3.57	3.19
Standard Deviation		.715	.698	.754	.775	.986	.503	.508	.893
Standard Error		.023	.025	.058	.075	.285	.126	.117	.239
Error variance		*	*	*	.01	.08	.02	.01	.06

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_2. Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	693 66%	54 68%	37 64%	38 65%	16 59%	44 64%	57 63%	111 77%	16 58%	42 72%	21 66%	35 57%	85 67%	24 69%
Tend to agree (3.0)	310 30%	21 27%	19 34%	17 29%	11 38%	21 31%	28 31%	25 18%	7 25%	14 24%	7 23%	26 42%	37 30%	9 25%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	21 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 2%	1 3%	1 2%	1 1%	5 3%	2 8%	1 2%	3 9%	1 1%	2 1%	- -
Strongly disagree (1.0)	16 2%	3 4%	- -	1 2%	- -	1 2%	3 4%	2 1%	- -	1 2%	1 3%	- -	2 2%	1 3%
Don't know	8 1%	- -	- -	1 2%	- -	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 9%	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 3%
NET: Agree	1002 96%	75 95%	56 98%	56 95%	27 97%	65 95%	85 94%	136 94%	23 83%	55 96%	29 89%	61 99%	122 97%	33 94%
NET: Disagree	37 4%	4 5%	1 2%	2 4%	1 3%	2 3%	5 5%	7 5%	2 8%	2 4%	4 11%	1 1%	4 3%	1 3%
Base for stats	1039	79	57	58	28	68	90	143	25	58	33	62	126	34
Mean Score	3.62	3.60	3.63	3.61	3.56	3.60	3.55	3.71	3.55	3.66	3.52	3.55	3.63	3.66
Standard Deviation	.607	.702	.521	.632	.570	.619	.714	.605	.665	.624	.777	.531	.601	.637
Standard Error	.019	.078	.068	.082	.106	.078	.079	.050	.139	.088	.133	.066	.053	.108
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	.02	.01	.02	*	*	.01

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_2. Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age			
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c	
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408	
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree	(4.0)	693	25	60	28	348	345	58	110	134	106	133	152	168	240	285
	66%	63%	64%	59%	69%	63%	59%	57%	66%	67%	74%	71%	57%	67%	72%	
					b				b		ab	ab		a	a	
Tend to agree	(3.0)	310	14	34	18	130	179	32	67	60	47	59	100	107	103	
	30%	37%	36%	39%	26%	33%	33%	35%	30%	30%	25%	27%	34%	30%	26%	
					a			e					c			
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	21	-	-	1	7	14	5	8	3	-	1	4	13	3	5
	2%	-	-	2%	1%	3%	5%	4%	2%	-	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%	
					de		de						bc			
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	16	-	-	-	9	7	2	7	3	3	1	-	9	6	1
	2%	-	-	-	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	-	3%	2%	*
					f		f	f		f			c	c		
Don't know		8	-	-	-	7	1	1	2	2	1	1	-	3	3	1
	1%	-	-	-	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	*	
					b											
NET: Agree	1002	39	94	46	478	524	91	177	194	152	177	211	268	347	388	
	96%	100%	100%	98%	96%	96%	91%	92%	96%	97%	98%	98%	91%	96%	98%	
									ab	ab	ab			a	a	
NET: Disagree	37	-	-	1	15	21	8	14	6	3	2	4	22	9	6	
	4%	-	-	2%	3%	4%	8%	7%	3%	2%	1%	2%	7%	3%	1%	
					def		cdef						bc			
Base for stats	1039	39	94	47	493	546	99	191	200	156	179	214	290	356	394	
Mean Score	3.62	3.63	3.64	3.57	3.66	3.58	3.49	3.47	3.63	3.64	3.72	3.69	3.47	3.63	3.71	
					b				b	b	ab	ab		a	a	
Standard Deviation	.607	.488	.483	.543	.601	.611	.710	.732	.590	.601	.498	.498	.723	.594	.497	
Standard Error	.019	.077	.049	.078	.028	.026	.074	.053	.041	.051	.037	.033	.043	.032	.025	
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_2. Wolves belong to our natural environment just like foxes, deer or hares: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	693	562	121	80	6	11	16	7
		66%	66%	70%	73%	48%	70%	85%	46%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	310	257	45	25	5	5	3	8
		30%	30%	26%	23%	36%	30%	15%	54%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	21	16	4	2	2	-	-	-
		2%	2%	2%	2%	16%	-	-	-
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	16	11	2	2	-	-	-	-
		2%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	-	-
Don't know		8	7	1	1	-	-	-	-
		1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-
NET: Agree		1002	819	166	105	10	16	19	16
		96%	96%	96%	95%	84%	100%	100%	100%
NET: Disagree		37	27	6	4	2	-	-	-
		4%	3%	4%	4%	16%	-	-	-
Base for stats		1039	845	172	109	12	16	19	16
Mean Score		3.62	3.62	3.65	3.67	3.32	3.70	3.85	3.46
Standard Deviation		.607	.591	.593	.617	.765	.475	.367	.515
Standard Error		.019	.020	.045	.059	.212	.119	.084	.133
Error variance		*	*	*	*	.05	.01	.01	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_3. Wolves should be accepted to live in the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	581 55%	44 56%	31 54%	41 70%	15 55%	38 55%	42 46%	92 64%	9 33%	36 62%	16 49%	31 49%	68 54%	23 66%
Tend to agree (3.0)	376 36%	27 34%	19 34%	14 23%	12 42%	28 40%	37 41%	40 28%	12 45%	16 28%	13 40%	26 41%	51 41%	7 20%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	43 4%	3 4%	5 9%	2 4%	- -	- -	6 6%	5 3%	3 12%	5 8%	3 8%	4 6%	3 2%	1 3%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	13 1%	1 1%	1 2%	2 3%	- -	2 3%	2 2%	1 1%	- -	- -	1 3%	1 1%	1 1%	1 3%
Don't know	33 3%	4 5%	1 2%	- -	1 3%	1 2%	4 5%	6 4%	2 9%	1 2%	- -	1 1%	3 2%	3 8%
NET: Agree	957 91%	71 90%	50 88%	55 93%	27 97%	65 95%	79 87%	132 92%	22 79%	52 90%	29 89%	56 91%	119 95%	30 86%
NET: Disagree	56 5%	4 5%	6 11%	4 7%	- -	2 3%	8 9%	6 4%	3 12%	5 8%	4 11%	5 8%	4 3%	2 5%
Base for stats	1014	75	56	59	27	68	87	138	25	57	33	61	123	32
Mean Score	3.50	3.53	3.42	3.59	3.57	3.49	3.37	3.61	3.23	3.55	3.35	3.41	3.51	3.64
Standard Deviation	.643	.638	.742	.724	.505	.672	.720	.596	.684	.646	.761	.685	.590	.688
Standard Error	.020	.072	.098	.094	.095	.085	.081	.050	.143	.092	.131	.086	.052	.120
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	.02	.01	.02	.01	*	.01

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_3. Wolves should be accepted to live in the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age			
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c	
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408	
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree	(4.0)	581	18	54	24	301	279	46	96	116	92	108	123	141	208	231
	55%	46%	57%	52%	60%	51%	46%	50%	58%	58%	60%	57%	48%	58%	59%	
					b					ab			a	a		
Tend to agree	(3.0)	376	18	37	20	167	210	35	71	67	55	64	85	105	122	149
	36%	45%	40%	42%	33%	38%	35%	37%	33%	35%	36%	40%	36%	34%	38%	
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	43	1	1	2	16	27	10	14	11	3	4	1	24	14	5
	4%	3%	1%	4%	3%	5%	10%	7%	5%	2%	2%	*	8%	4%	1%	
					def	def	f						bc	c		
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	13	-	-	-	4	9	3	5	3	1	-	1	8	4	1
	1%	-	-	-	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	-	*	3%	1%	*	
					ef	e	c						c			
Don't know		33	3	2	1	11	22	6	8	5	6	4	4	14	11	8
	3%	7%	2%	2%	2%	4%	6%	4%	2%	4%	2%	2%	5%	3%	2%	
					f		c									
NET: Agree		957	36	91	44	468	489	80	166	183	147	173	208	247	330	381
	91%	90%	97%	94%	94%	89%	81%	86%	91%	93%	96%	97%	84%	92%	96%	
					b		a	ab	ab	abc			a	ab		
NET: Disagree		56	1	1	2	21	35	13	19	14	4	4	2	32	18	6
	5%	3%	1%	4%	4%	6%	13%	10%	7%	3%	2%	1%	11%	5%	2%	
					def	def	ef						bc	c		
Base for stats		1014	37	92	46	489	524	93	185	197	151	177	210	279	349	386
Mean Score	3.50	3.46	3.57	3.48	3.56	3.45	3.31	3.39	3.50	3.57	3.59	3.57	3.36	3.53	3.58	
					b		a	ab	ab	ab			a	a		
Standard Deviation	.643	.565	.517	.587	.606	.671	.803	.742	.671	.580	.539	.530	.763	.633	.533	
Standard Error	.020	.093	.053	.085	.028	.029	.086	.054	.047	.050	.040	.036	.046	.034	.027	
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_3. Wolves should be accepted to live in the country: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Diet						
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	581 55%	459 54%	113 65% a	77 70% a	5 39%	11 68%	12 64%	8 53%
Tend to agree (3.0)	376 36%	320 38% bc	47 27%	24 21%	6 45%	5 32%	6 30%	6 42%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	43 4%	34 4%	9 5%	8 7%	1 9%	-	-	-
Strongly disagree (1.0)	13 1%	8 1%	3 2%	1 1%	1 7%	-	1 6%	-
Don't know	33 3%	30 4%	2 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 6%
NET: Agree	957 91%	779 91%	160 92%	100 91%	10 84%	16 100%	18 94%	15 94%
NET: Disagree	56 5%	42 5%	12 7%	9 8%	2 16%	-	1 6%	-
Base for stats	1014	821	171	109	12	16	19	15
Mean Score	3.50	3.50	3.57	3.62	3.15	3.68	3.53	3.56
Standard Deviation	.643	.627	.674	.660	.899	.480	.789	.514
Standard Error	.020	.022	.052	.063	.249	.120	.181	.137
Error variance	*	*	*	*	.06	.01	.03	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_4. Wolves have a right to exist in the wild: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	707 67%	56 71%	37 65%	40 67%	19 69%	45 66%	51 56%	112 78%	21 75%	39 68%	20 63%	39 63%	85 67%	27 77%
Tend to agree (3.0)	282 27%	18 23%	16 28%	17 29%	8 28%	20 30%	33 37%	23 16%	4 16%	13 22%	9 28%	19 31%	38 30%	5 15%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	30 3%	- -	1 2%	1 2%	1 3%	1 2%	5 5%	6 4%	- -	3 6%	3 9%	2 3%	- -	2 5%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	14 1%	1 1%	1 2%	1 2%	- -	1 2%	2 2%	2 1%	- -	1 2%	- -	2 3%	3 2%	- -
Don't know	13 1%	4 5%	2 3%	- -	- -	1 1%	- -	1 1%	2 9%	1 2%	- -	- -	- -	1 3%
NET: Agree	989 94%	74 94%	53 93%	57 96%	27 97%	65 95%	84 92%	135 94%	25 91%	52 90%	30 91%	58 94%	123 98%	32 92%
NET: Disagree	45 4%	1 1%	2 3%	2 4%	1 3%	2 3%	7 8%	8 5%	- -	5 8%	3 9%	4 6%	3 2%	2 5%
Base for stats	1034	75	55	59	28	68	91	143	25	57	33	62	126	34
Mean Score	3.63	3.71	3.63	3.62	3.65	3.61	3.46	3.72	3.82	3.59	3.54	3.54	3.63	3.74
Standard Deviation	.613	.547	.613	.627	.552	.617	.711	.600	.390	.702	.658	.705	.613	.558
Standard Error	.019	.062	.082	.081	.102	.078	.078	.049	.081	.100	.113	.088	.054	.094
Error variance	*	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	.01

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_4. Wolves have a right to exist in the wild: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age			
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c	
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408	
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree	(4.0)	707	23	65	28	356	350	56	117	144	102	134	153	173	246	287
	67%	58%	70%	59%	71%	64%	56%	61%	71%	65%	74%	72%	59%	69%	73%	
					b				ab		ab	ab		a	a	
Tend to agree	(3.0)	282	14	29	15	123	159	30	57	48	47	44	56	87	95	100
	27%	35%	30%	33%	25%	29%	31%	30%	24%	30%	24%	26%	30%	27%	25%	
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	30	3	-	3	11	19	8	12	6	2	1	2	20	8	3
	3%	7%	-	6%	2%	3%	8%	6%	3%	1%	1%	1%	7%	2%	1%	
		b		b			def	def					bc			
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	14	-	-	-	6	9	2	4	3	2	1	2	6	5	3
	1%	-	-	-	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	*	1%	2%	1%	1%	
Don't know		13	-	-	1	4	10	3	3	1	3	1	2	6	5	3
	1%	-	-	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	
NET: Agree		989	37	94	43	480	509	87	174	192	149	178	209	261	342	386
	94%	93%	100%	92%	96%	93%	87%	90%	95%	95%	98%	97%	89%	95%	98%	
			ac						a	a	ab	ab		a	ab	
NET: Disagree		45	3	-	3	17	28	10	16	9	4	2	4	26	13	6
	4%	7%	-	6%	3%	5%	10%	8%	4%	3%	1%	2%	9%	4%	1%	
		b		b			def	def					bc	c		
Base for stats	1034	39	94	46	497	537	96	190	201	154	180	212	287	355	392	
Mean Score	3.63	3.50	3.70	3.54	3.67	3.58	3.46	3.51	3.66	3.62	3.73	3.70	3.49	3.64	3.71	
					b				ab		ab	ab		a	a	
Standard Deviation	.613	.637	.463	.617	.577	.642	.743	.715	.609	.592	.492	.528	.723	.601	.511	
Standard Error	.019	.01	.047	.089	.027	.027	.078	.052	.042	.051	.036	.035	.043	.032	.025	
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_4. Wolves have a right to exist in the wild: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
			a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	707 67%	571 67%	124 72%	80 72%	9 70%	11 68%	14 75%	10 67%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	282 27%	237 28%	39 23%	24 22%	2 14%	5 32%	5 25%	3 20%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	30 3%	25 3%	5 3%	1 1%	2 16%	-	-	2 13%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	14 1%	8 1%	4 2%	4 4%	-	-	-	-
Don't know		13 1%	11 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	-
NET: Agree		989 94%	808 95%	163 94%	104 94%	10 84%	16 100%	19 100%	13 87%
NET: Disagree		45 4%	33 4%	9 5%	5 4%	2 16%	-	-	2 13%
Base for stats		1034	840	172	109	12	16	19	16
Mean Score		3.63	3.63	3.65	3.65	3.54	3.68	3.75	3.54
Standard Deviation		.613	.591	.654	.684	.787	.480	.443	.741
Standard Error		.019	.020	.050	.066	.218	.120	.102	.191
Error variance		*	*	*	*	.05	.01	.01	.04

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_5. Wolves pose a risk to people: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	144 14%	10 12%	11 20%	3 5%	3 10%	9 13%	16 17%	24 17%	5 17%	17 30%	3 9%	12 19%	7 6%	9 25%
Tend to agree (3.0)	305 29%	21 27%	16 27%	14 24%	10 35%	22 32%	25 27%	39 27%	9 34%	13 22%	12 35%	15 25%	46 37%	13 37%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	388 37%	29 36%	21 37%	28 47%	9 31%	25 36%	29 31%	51 35%	9 33%	17 30%	13 39%	23 37%	51 40%	7 22%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	153 15%	15 19%	7 12%	13 21%	5 17%	10 14%	14 16%	24 17%	3 12%	8 14%	4 12%	9 15%	13 11%	6 16%
Don't know	57 5%	5 6%	2 3%	2 3%	2 7%	3 5%	8 9%	6 4%	1 4%	2 4%	2 5%	3 4%	8 7%	- -
NET: Agree	450 43%	31 39%	27 47%	17 29%	12 45%	31 45%	40 44%	63 44%	14 50%	30 52%	14 44%	27 44%	53 43%	21 62%
NET: Disagree	541 52%	44 55%	28 49%	40 68%	14 48%	35 51%	43 47%	75 52%	13 45%	25 44%	16 50%	32 52%	64 51%	13 38%
Base for stats	990	74	55	57	26	65	83	138	26	55	31	59	117	35
Mean Score	2.45	2.35	2.57	2.12	2.40	2.45	2.50	2.45	2.57	2.71	2.44	2.50	2.40	2.70
Standard Deviation	.921	.946	.963	.811	.927	.908	.992	.976	.941	1.069	.839	.993	.776	1.032
Standard Error	.029	.108	.129	.106	.178	.116	.115	.082	.192	.154	.148	.127	.070	.172
Error variance	*	.01	.02	.01	.03	.01	.01	.01	.04	.02	.02	.02	*	.03

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_5. Wolves pose a risk to people: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	144 14%	4 11%	8 8%	5 11%	65 13%	79 15%	22 22%	36 19%	24 12%	19 12%	25 14%	18 8%	58 20%	44 12%	43 11%
Tend to agree (3.0)	305 29%	13 32%	28 30%	10 21%	134 27%	171 31%	31 31%	74 38%	50 25%	44 28%	46 26%	60 28%	105 36%	94 26%	106 27%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	388 37%	16 41%	38 41%	23 50%	190 38%	198 36%	33 33%	52 27%	78 38%	62 40%	77 43%	85 40%	85 29%	140 39%	163 41%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	153 15%	4 10%	10 11%	7 14%	95 19%	58 11%	9 9%	20 10%	34 17%	23 14%	24 14%	42 20%	29 10%	57 16%	67 17%
Don't know	57 5%	2 6%	10 10%	2 4%	16 3%	41 7%	5 5%	11 5%	16 8%	9 6%	8 4%	8 4%	16 5%	25 7%	16 4%
NET: Agree	450 43%	17 43%	36 38%	15 32%	199 40%	251 46%	53 53%	110 57%	74 37%	63 40%	71 39%	78 36%	163 56%	137 38%	149 38%
NET: Disagree	541 52%	20 51%	49 52%	30 64%	285 57%	255 47%	41 42%	72 37%	112 55%	85 54%	102 56%	128 60%	114 39%	197 55%	230 58%
Base for stats	990	37	85	45	484	506	94	183	186	148	173	206	277	334	379
Mean Score	2.45	2.47	2.39	2.29	2.35	2.54	2.70	2.69	2.34	2.40	2.41	2.26	2.70	2.37	2.33
Standard Deviation	.921	.840	.824	.862	.943	.890	.930	.913	.927	.903	.903	.884	.917	.916	.895
Standard Error	.029	.136	.088	.126	.044	.039	.099	.067	.066	.079	.068	.060	.056	.051	.045
Error variance	*	.02	.01	.02	*	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_5. Wolves pose a risk to people: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	144	119	22	14	3	1	1	3
		14%	14%	13%	12%	24%	7%	6%	20%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	305	250	50	35	4	5	4	3
		29%	29%	29%	31%	29%	30%	20%	22%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	388	310	69	49	5	3	6	5
		37%	36%	40%	45%	41%	18%	33%	32%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	153	122	28	10	1	5	7	4
		15%	14%	16%	10%	7%	32%	36%	27%
Don't know		57	51	5	2	-	2	1	-
		5%	6%	3%	2%	-	13%	5%	-
NET: Agree		450	370	72	48	7	6	5	7
		43%	43%	42%	44%	53%	37%	26%	42%
NET: Disagree		541	431	96	60	6	8	13	9
		52%	51%	55%	54%	47%	50%	69%	58%
Base for stats		990	801	168	108	12	14	18	16
Mean Score		2.45	2.46	2.40	2.48	2.69	2.14	1.95	2.35
Standard Deviation		.921	.923	.912	.837	.946	1.049	.936	1.116
Standard Error		.029	.033	.070	.081	.262	.280	.221	.288
Error variance		*	*	*	.01	.07	.08	.05	.08

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_6. Wolves should be strictly protected: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	507 48%	40 51%	26 46%	30 51%	11 38%	37 53%	35 39%	81 56%	10 37%	27 47%	19 58%	30 48%	62 49%	16 47%
Tend to agree (3.0)	373 36%	25 32%	25 43%	23 39%	10 37%	20 30%	36 39%	40 28%	14 50%	15 26%	11 34%	23 36%	53 42%	11 31%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	92 9%	4 5%	4 7%	2 4%	2 7%	7 10%	15 16%	10 7%	- -	8 14%	2 6%	7 11%	5 4%	6 19%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	22 2%	4 5%	- -	- -	1 3%	1 1%	- -	9 6%	1 4%	1 2%	1 3%	- -	3 2%	- -
Don't know	53 5%	6 8%	2 3%	4 7%	4 15%	4 6%	6 6%	5 3%	2 9%	6 10%	- -	3 5%	4 3%	1 3%
NET: Agree	880 84%	66 83%	51 90%	53 90%	21 75%	57 83%	71 78%	120 84%	24 87%	43 74%	30 92%	52 84%	114 91%	27 78%
NET: Disagree	114 11%	8 10%	4 7%	2 4%	3 10%	8 11%	15 16%	19 13%	1 4%	9 16%	3 8%	7 11%	8 6%	6 19%
Base for stats	994	73	55	55	24	65	85	139	25	52	33	59	122	34
Mean Score	3.37	3.39	3.41	3.51	3.28	3.43	3.24	3.38	3.32	3.33	3.47	3.39	3.42	3.29
Standard Deviation	.743	.818	.626	.580	.798	.742	.729	.880	.710	.827	.738	.692	.683	.781
Standard Error	.024	.094	.084	.077	.160	.096	.083	.074	.148	.123	.127	.089	.061	.132
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.03	.01	.01	.01	.02	.02	.02	.01	*	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_6. Wolves should be strictly protected: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	507 48%	18 45%	44 47%	22 46%	231 46%	276 51%	48 48%	93 48%	119 bdef	69 44%	78 43%	101 47%	141 48%	187 52%	179 45%
Tend to agree (3.0)	373 36%	14 35%	36 38%	19 41%	178 36%	196 36%	37 38%	73 38%	66 33%	60 38%	64 35%	74 34%	110 38%	126 35%	137 35%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	92 9%	6 15%	10 10%	5 11%	60 12%	32 6%	7 7%	17 9%	7 3%	12 8%	22 12%	28 13%	23 8%	19 5%	50 13%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	22 2%	- -	- -	1 2%	11 2%	11 2%	1 1%	5 3%	4 2%	5 3%	4 2%	4 2%	6 2%	8 2%	8 2%
Don't know	53 5%	2 5%	5 5%	- -	21 4%	32 6%	6 6%	6 3%	7 3%	13 8%	13 7%	8 4%	12 4%	19 5%	21 5%
NET: Agree	880 84%	32 80%	79 85%	41 87%	408 82%	472 86%	85 86%	166 86%	185 91%	128 82%	142 79%	174 81%	251 86%	313 87%	316 80%
NET: Disagree	114 11%	6 15%	10 10%	6 13%	71 14%	43 8%	8 8%	21 11%	11 5%	16 10%	26 14%	32 15%	29 10%	27 7%	58 15%
Base for stats	994	38	89	47	479	515	93	187	196	144	168	206	280	340	374
Mean Score	3.37	3.31	3.38	3.32	3.31	3.43	3.42	3.36	3.53	3.33	3.29	3.32	3.38	3.45	3.30
Standard Deviation	.743	.740	.678	.748	.778	.704	.681	.752	.659	.759	.782	.776	.728	.709	.778
Standard Error	.024	.120	.071	.107	.036	.030	.073	.055	.046	.067	.060	.053	.044	.039	.040
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_6. Wolves should be strictly protected: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	507 48%	401 47%	98 56% a	63 57% a	4 34%	11 68%	13 70%	6 41%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	373 36%	311 37%	56 32%	34 31%	5 43%	4 25%	6 30%	7 46%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	92 9%	78 9%	9 5%	6 6%	2 16%	1 6%	- -	- -
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	22 2%	16 2%	4 2%	3 3%	1 7%	- -	- -	- -
Don't know		53 5%	45 5%	6 3%	4 4%	- -	- -	- -	2 13%
NET: Agree		880 84%	713 84%	154 89%	97 88%	10 76%	15 94%	19 100%	14 87%
NET: Disagree		114 11%	94 11%	13 8%	9 9%	3 24%	1 6%	- -	- -
Base for stats		994	807	168	106	12	16	19	14
Mean Score		3.37	3.36	3.48	3.47	3.03	3.62	3.70	3.47
Standard Deviation		.743	.737	.715	.744	.928	.621	.472	.519
Standard Error		.024	.026	.055	.072	.257	.155	.108	.144
Error variance		*	*	*	.01	.07	.02	.01	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_7. I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	117 11%	13 17%	6 11%	6 11%	6 21%	11 16%	7 7%	12 8%	1 4%	10 18%	2 6%	8 13%	12 9%	1 3%
Tend to agree (3.0)	308 29%	20 26%	17 31%	29 49%	4 13%	19 27%	21 23%	51 36%	6 21%	12 20%	13 40%	18 29%	34 27%	10 28%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	308 29%	19 23%	13 22%	12 20%	10 37%	16 23%	39 43%	41 28%	9 33%	21 36%	7 23%	21 34%	35 28%	12 33%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	149 14%	14 18%	10 17%	4 6%	3 10%	14 21%	7 7%	21 14%	6 20%	7 12%	7 23%	5 8%	23 18%	8 24%
Don't know	164 16%	13 16%	11 19%	8 13%	5 18%	9 13%	18 19%	19 13%	6 21%	8 14%	3 8%	10 16%	22 17%	4 11%
NET: Agree	426 41%	34 42%	24 42%	36 60%	9 34%	29 43%	28 31%	63 44%	7 25%	22 38%	15 46%	26 42%	45 36%	11 31%
NET: Disagree	458 44%	33 41%	22 39%	15 26%	13 48%	31 45%	45 50%	61 43%	15 54%	28 48%	15 46%	26 42%	58 47%	20 58%
Base for stats	883	66	46	51	23	60	73	125	22	50	30	52	104	31
Mean Score	2.45	2.49	2.44	2.75	2.54	2.43	2.38	2.44	2.11	2.52	2.32	2.57	2.33	2.11
Standard Deviation	.923	1.048	.986	.776	1.024	1.049	.779	.881	.870	.982	.934	.865	.946	.859
Standard Error	.031	.127	.144	.108	.209	.140	.096	.078	.195	.150	.168	.119	.091	.152
Error variance	*	.02	.02	.01	.04	.02	.01	.01	.04	.02	.03	.01	.01	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_7. I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	117 11%	6 15%	13 14%	3 6%	76 15% b	41 8%	13 13%	22 11%	24 12%	15 9%	18 10%	26 12%	34 12%	38 11%	44 11%
Tend to agree (3.0)	308 29%	10 25%	30 32%	14 30%	190 38% b	118 22%	32 32%	57 29%	52 26%	48 31%	51 28%	68 32%	88 30%	100 28%	120 30%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	308 29%	14 35%	27 29%	14 29%	127 25%	182 33% a	35 35%	62 32%	60 30%	52 33%	48 27%	52 24%	97 33% c	112 31%	100 25%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	149 14%	4 9%	10 11%	6 14%	39 8%	110 20% a	10 10%	38 20% adf	34 17% f	15 10%	29 16%	22 10%	48 17%	50 14%	51 13%
Don't know	164 16%	6 15%	14 15%	10 21%	68 14%	96 17%	10 10%	15 8%	32 16% b	27 17% b	34 19% b	46 21% ab	25 9%	59 16% a	80 20% a
NET: Agree	426 41%	16 40%	43 46%	17 36%	266 53% b	160 29%	44 45%	78 40%	76 37%	63 40%	69 38%	95 44%	123 42%	139 39%	164 42%
NET: Disagree	458 44%	17 44%	37 40%	20 43%	166 33%	292 53% a	45 45%	100 52% f	94 47% f	67 43%	77 43%	74 34%	145 50% c	162 45%	151 38%
Base for stats	883	33	80	37	432	451	90	178	170	130	147	169	268	300	315
Mean Score	2.45	2.55	2.57	2.36	2.70 b	2.20	2.52	2.35	2.38	2.48	2.39	2.59 bc	2.41	2.43	2.50
Standard Deviation	.923	.924	.919	.865	.864	.912	.880	.950	.959	.849	.946	.904	.929	.913	.927
Standard Error	.031	.159	.101	.140	.043	.042	.095	.071	.072	.079	.078	.068	.057	.053	.052
Error variance	*	.03	.01	.02	*	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_7. I would know how to behave if I were to encounter a wolf: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		Total	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	117 11%	95 11%	20 12%	9 8%	2 16%	3 19%	2 11%	4 28%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	308 29%	238 28%	64 37%	42 38%	4 32%	4 25%	9 48%	5 32%
				a	a				
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	308 29%	254 30%	47 27%	31 28%	5 38%	4 25%	4 22%	2 14%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	149 14%	130 15%	18 10%	10 9%	2 14%	2 13%	3 14%	1 6%
Don't know		164 16%	134 16%	25 15%	19 17%	- -	3 19%	1 5%	3 19%
NET: Agree		426 41%	333 39%	84 48%	50 46%	6 47%	7 44%	11 59%	9 61%
				a					
NET: Disagree		458 44%	384 45%	64 37%	41 38%	7 53%	6 38%	7 37%	3 21%
Base for stats		883	717	148	92	12	13	18	13
Mean Score		2.45	2.42	2.58	2.53	2.49	2.61	2.58	3.02
				a					
Standard Deviation		.923	.933	.871	.813	.962	1.055	.909	.956
Standard Error		.031	.035	.072	.085	.267	.293	.214	.276
Error variance		*	*	.01	.01	.07	.09	.05	.08

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_8. Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	387 37%	36 46%	18 31%	23 40%	12 42%	21 31%	27 30%	62 43%	5 17%	19 32%	13 39%	20 32%	47 38%	16 47%
Tend to agree (3.0)	414 40%	26 33%	26 45%	25 42%	10 37%	23 33%	39 43%	58 40%	14 50%	24 42%	11 35%	23 37%	59 47%	9 26%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	139 13%	10 13%	9 15%	5 9%	6 21%	13 19%	14 15%	11 7%	2 8%	6 10%	6 18%	9 14%	10 8%	5 14%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	50 5%	4 5%	3 5%	1 2%	- -	4 6%	3 4%	8 5%	3 12%	8 14%	2 6%	5 8%	3 2%	3 8%
Don't know	56 5%	3 4%	2 4%	5 8%	- -	8 12%	7 8%	5 3%	4 13%	1 2%	1 3%	6 9%	7 5%	2 6%
NET: Agree	801 77%	62 78%	43 76%	48 81%	22 79%	44 64%	66 73%	121 84%	18 66%	43 74%	24 74%	43 69%	106 84%	25 73%
NET: Disagree	189 18%	14 18%	12 20%	6 11%	6 21%	17 25%	17 19%	18 13%	6 21%	14 24%	8 24%	13 22%	13 10%	8 22%
Base for stats	991	76	55	54	28	61	83	139	24	57	32	56	119	33
Mean Score	3.15	3.24	3.06	3.30	3.21	3.00	3.08	3.26	2.81	2.94	3.10	3.03	3.27	3.18
Standard Deviation	.844	.872	.835	.729	.778	.920	.809	.826	.923	1.008	.914	.927	.714	.987
Standard Error	.027	.098	.112	.098	.144	.122	.093	.069	.197	.144	.159	.122	.064	.169
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.04	.02	.03	.01	*	.03

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_8. Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	387 37%	14 35%	38 40%	17 36%	198 40%	190 35%	36 37%	62 32%	81 40%	54 34%	69 38%	85 40%	98 34%	135 38%	154 39%
Tend to agree (3.0)	414 40%	18 45%	32 34%	17 37%	201 40%	213 39%	40 40%	78 40%	71 35%	65 41%	71 39%	88 41%	118 40%	136 38%	159 40%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	139 13%	7 18%	18 19%	10 20%	68 14%	71 13%	16 16%	28 14%	27 13%	21 13%	26 15%	22 10%	43 15%	47 13%	49 12%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	50 5%	- -	3 3%	1 2%	13 3%	37 7%	1 1%	15 8%	12 6%	9 6%	6 3%	6 3%	16 6%	21 6%	12 3%
Don't know	56 5%	1 2%	4 4%	2 4%	21 4%	36 7%	6 6%	10 5%	11 5%	9 6%	8 4%	12 6%	17 6%	20 6%	20 5%
NET: Agree	801 77%	32 80%	70 74%	34 73%	398 80%	403 74%	77 77%	140 72%	153 75%	118 75%	141 78%	173 81%	217 74%	271 75%	314 79%
NET: Disagree	189 18%	7 18%	21 22%	10 22%	81 16%	109 20%	17 17%	43 22%	39 19%	30 19%	32 18%	29 13%	60 20%	69 19%	61 16%
Base for stats	991	39	90	45	479	511	93	183	191	148	173	202	276	339	375
Mean Score	3.15	3.18	3.16	3.12	3.22	3.09	3.20	3.02	3.16	3.10	3.18	3.25	3.08	3.13	3.22
Standard Deviation	.844	.722	.848	.819	.784	.893	.756	.910	.893	.861	.815	.776	.864	.879	.794
Standard Error	.027	.116	.088	.119	.037	.039	.081	.067	.063	.075	.062	.053	.052	.048	.040
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q2_8. Wolves should be allowed to roam freely without human intervention: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	387 37%	314 37%	68 39%	40 36%	6 46%	7 44%	7 36%	8 54%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	414 40%	338 40%	67 38%	42 39%	3 22%	7 43%	9 49%	5 32%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	139 13%	113 13%	23 13%	15 14%	4 32%	1 6%	2 10%	1 6%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	50 5%	40 5%	6 4%	4 4%	- -	- -	1 6%	1 8%
Don't know		56 5%	46 5%	9 5%	8 8%	- -	1 7%	- -	- -
NET: Agree		801 77%	652 77%	135 78%	83 75%	8 68%	14 87%	16 85%	13 87%
NET: Disagree		189 18%	154 18%	29 17%	19 18%	4 32%	1 6%	3 15%	2 13%
Base for stats		991	806	164	102	12	15	19	16
Mean Score		3.15	3.15	3.20	3.16	3.14	3.40	3.15	3.34
Standard Deviation		.844	.843	.823	.829	.911	.637	.834	.919
Standard Error		.027	.030	.064	.082	.253	.164	.191	.237
Error variance		*	*	*	.01	.06	.03	.04	.06

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3 Summary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Strongly agree	Tend to agree	Tend to disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know	NET: Agree	NET: Disagree
Unweighted Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	1047 100%	632 60%	327 31%	40 4%	16 2%	32 3%	959 92%	56 5%
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	1047 100%	576 55%	381 36%	37 4%	19 2%	34 3%	957 91%	56 5%
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	1047 100%	523 50%	403 38%	61 6%	21 2%	38 4%	926 88%	83 8%
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	1047 100%	641 61%	279 27%	56 5%	30 3%	41 4%	920 88%	86 8%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	1047 100%	503 48%	406 39%	74 7%	16 2%	48 5%	909 87%	90 9%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	1047 100%	462 44%	431 41%	83 8%	19 2%	52 5%	893 85%	102 10%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	959 92%	68 85%	55 97%	55 93%	25 90%	64 93%	81 89%	135 94%	24 88%	52 90%	28 86%	58 94%	120 95%	31 88%
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	957 91%	69 87%	54 95%	59 100%	24 86%	64 94%	79 86%	134 93%	25 91%	51 88%	32 97%	60 97%	114 91%	31 88%
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	926 88%	69 86%	51 90%	56 95%	26 93%	63 92%	77 84%	125 87%	23 83%	51 88%	27 83%	56 91%	116 92%	30 86%
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	920 88%	65 82%	50 88%	47 80%	24 85%	64 94%	74 82%	131 91%	26 96%	50 86%	25 77%	59 95%	114 91%	29 83%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	909 87%	64 81%	51 90%	54 91%	25 89%	63 92%	79 86%	127 88%	24 88%	45 78%	28 85%	51 83%	116 92%	33 94%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	893 85%	66 83%	50 88%	55 93%	21 75%	58 84%	74 82%	127 88%	21 75%	44 76%	26 80%	56 90%	115 92%	30 85%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Significance Level: 95%															
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	959 92%	38 95%	84 90%	43 92%	460 92%	499 91%	86 86%	174 90%	185 91%	144 92%	163 90%	209 97%	260 89%	328 91%	371 94%
											abcde				a
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	957 91%	35 87%	84 90%	43 92%	458 92%	498 91%	83 83%	174 90%	179 89%	146 93%	170 94%	205 96%	257 88%	325 90%	375 95%
										a	ac	abc			ab
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	926 88%	35 88%	85 91%	37 79%	450 90%	476 87%	79 79%	164 85%	175 87%	140 89%	167 92%	200 94%	243 83%	316 88%	367 93%
			c							a	ab	abc			ab
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	920 88%	36 92%	87 92%	39 84%	439 88%	481 88%	80 80%	164 85%	179 88%	137 87%	162 90%	199 93%	244 83%	315 88%	361 91%
										a	ab				a
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	909 87%	32 82%	80 85%	38 82%	444 89%	465 85%	78 78%	164 85%	180 89%	137 87%	153 85%	197 92%	242 83%	317 88%	350 89%
									a		abe				a
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	893 85%	33 84%	80 85%	38 82%	441 88%	452 83%	79 79%	158 82%	170 84%	137 87%	159 88%	191 89%	237 81%	306 85%	349 89%
					b						ab				a

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Diet							
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	959 92%	774 91%	166 96% a	106 96%	9 75%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	957 91%	780 92%	159 92%	103 94%	12 93%	16 100%	18 94%	10 66%
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	926 88%	756 89%	153 88%	97 88%	10 77%	15 94%	18 95%	13 87%
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	920 88%	748 88%	153 88%	102 93%	9 70%	15 93%	17 89%	10 67%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	909 87%	736 86%	155 89%	97 88%	10 84%	15 95%	19 100%	14 87%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	893 85%	727 85%	149 86%	94 85%	10 84%	13 81%	19 100%	13 81%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	90 9%	10 12%	4 7%	3 5%	1 3%	3 5%	7 8%	14 10%	3 12%	8 14%	5 15%	9 14%	3 2%	2 6%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	102 10%	9 11%	6 10%	4 7%	4 14%	4 6%	9 10%	15 11%	5 17%	10 18%	6 17%	5 8%	6 5%	3 9%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	56 5%	9 11%	2 3%	2 4%	3 10%	2 3%	7 7%	7 5%	2 8%	2 4%	3 8%	3 5%	3 2%	3 9%
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	56 5%	6 7%	2 4%	- -	4 14%	2 3%	7 7%	8 6%	2 9%	4 6%	1 3%	- -	6 5%	3 9%
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	86 8%	11 13%	5 9%	8 14%	3 11%	2 3%	9 10%	11 8%	- -	5 8%	6 20%	2 3%	7 6%	4 12%
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	83 8%	7 9%	4 7%	2 4%	1 3%	2 3%	8 9%	13 9%	3 12%	5 8%	6 17%	5 8%	8 7%	3 9%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	90 9%	2 5%	9 9%	8 16%	37 7%	54 10%	16 16% cdf	21 11%	14 7%	10 6%	16 9%	13 6%	37 13% bc	24 7%	29 7%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	102 10%	4 10%	7 7%	7 14%	37 7%	65 12% a	16 16% def	25 13%	19 9%	11 7%	14 8%	17 8%	41 14% bc	30 8%	31 8%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	56 5%	1 2%	3 3%	4 8%	24 5%	32 6%	12 12% cf	14 7% f	10 5% f	8 5% f	10 6% f	3 1%	26 9% c	18 5%	13 3%
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	56 5%	2 5%	6 6%	4 8%	29 6%	27 5%	11 11% ef	13 7% e	15 8% ef	7 4%	3 2%	7 3%	24 8% c	22 6% c	10 2%
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	86 8%	2 5%	4 4%	7 14% b	44 9%	42 8%	16 17% cdef	22 11% ef	16 8%	12 8%	10 5%	10 4%	38 13% bc	28 8%	19 5%
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	83 8%	1 2%	6 6%	9 19% ab	34 7%	48 9%	19 20% cdef	24 12% def	17 8% f	8 5%	7 4%	7 3%	43 15% bc	25 7% c	14 4%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Diet							
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them	90 9%	72 8%	14 8%	9 9%	2 16%	1 5%	- -	2 13%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals	102 10%	85 10%	13 7%	7 7%	2 16%	1 5%	- -	3 19%
Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away	56 5%	50 6%	5 3%	2 2%	3 25%	- -	- -	- -
The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks	56 5%	40 5%	11 7%	5 4%	1 7%	- -	1 6%	4 28%
Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary	86 8%	68 8%	15 9%	7 6%	4 30%	- -	2 11%	2 13%
Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves	83 8%	65 8%	16 9%	11 10%	3 23%	- -	1 5%	1 8%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_1. Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	503 48%	40 50%	23 41%	29 49%	12 41%	39 57%	36 40%	84 59%	13 45%	22 38%	13 39%	25 41%	65 52%	18 52%
Tend to agree (3.0)	406 39%	24 30%	28 49%	25 42%	13 48%	24 35%	43 47%	42 29%	12 42%	23 40%	15 46%	26 42%	51 40%	15 42%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	74 7%	8 9%	4 7%	2 4%	1 3%	2 3%	5 5%	10 7%	2 8%	8 14%	4 12%	8 13%	1 1%	2 6%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	16 2%	2 3%	- -	1 2%	- -	1 1%	2 2%	5 3%	1 4%	- -	1 3%	1 1%	2 1%	- -
Don't know	48 5%	6 7%	2 3%	2 3%	2 8%	2 3%	6 6%	3 2%	- -	5 8%	- -	2 3%	7 6%	- -
NET: Agree	909 87%	64 81%	51 90%	54 91%	25 89%	63 92%	79 86%	127 88%	24 88%	45 78%	28 85%	51 83%	116 92%	33 94%
NET: Disagree	90 9%	10 12%	4 7%	3 5%	1 3%	3 5%	7 8%	14 10%	3 12%	8 14%	5 15%	9 14%	3 2%	2 6%
Base for stats	999	73	55	57	26	66	85	141	28	53	33	60	119	35
Mean Score	3.40	3.39	3.35	3.43	3.41	3.53	3.31	3.46	3.29	3.26	3.22	3.26	3.51	3.46
Standard Deviation	.696	.783	.613	.667	.572	.639	.699	.774	.806	.711	.768	.744	.597	.617
Standard Error	.022	.090	.082	.088	.110	.081	.080	.064	.161	.105	.132	.094	.054	.103
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	.03	.01	.02	.01	*	.01

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_1. Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	503 48%	17 43%	47 50%	20 43%	243 49%	260 48%	39 39%	80 42%	118 59%	71 45%	85 47%	109 51%	119 41%	190 53%	194 49%
									abde					a	a
Tend to agree (3.0)	406 39%	16 40%	32 35%	18 38%	201 40%	205 38%	39 39%	84 44%	61 30%	66 42%	68 37%	88 41%	123 42%	127 35%	156 39%
								c		c		c			
Tend to disagree (2.0)	74 7%	2 5%	9 9%	8 16%	31 6%	43 8%	14 14%	19 10%	11 6%	7 4%	14 8%	9 4%	33 11%	18 5%	23 6%
							cdf	f					bc		
Strongly disagree (1.0)	16 2%	-	-	-	6 1%	10 2%	2 2%	2 1%	3 1%	3 2%	2 1%	4 2%	4 1%	6 2%	6 1%
Don't know	48 5%	5 13%	6 6%	1 2%	19 4%	28 5%	5 5%	8 4%	8 4%	10 6%	12 6%	5 2%	13 5%	18 5%	16 4%
		c								f	f				
NET: Agree	909 87%	32 82%	80 85%	38 82%	444 89%	465 85%	78 78%	164 85%	180 89%	137 87%	153 85%	197 92%	242 83%	317 88%	350 89%
									a			abe			a
NET: Disagree	90 9%	2 5%	9 9%	8 16%	37 7%	54 10%	16 16%	21 11%	14 7%	10 6%	16 9%	13 6%	37 13%	24 7%	29 7%
							cdf						bc		
Base for stats	999	34	88	46	481	519	94	185	194	147	169	210	279	341	379
Mean Score	3.40	3.44	3.43	3.27	3.42	3.38	3.22	3.31	3.52	3.39	3.40	3.44	3.28	3.47	3.42
									ab			a		a	a
Standard Deviation	.696	.605	.670	.738	.667	.723	.782	.696	.678	.685	.693	.663	.726	.683	.676
Standard Error	.022	.102	.070	.107	.031	.031	.083	.051	.047	.060	.053	.045	.044	.037	.034
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_1. Farmers and people living in rural areas should coexist with wolves and other wild animals without harming them: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet								
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan		
		Total	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Significance Level: 95%										
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree	(4.0)	503 48%	394 46%	102 59% a	63 57% a	8 62%	10 64%	13 69%	8 53%	
Tend to agree	(3.0)	406 39%	343 40% b	53 30%	34 31%	3 22%	5 30%	6 31%	5 34%	
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	74 7%	60 7%	11 6%	7 6%	2 16%	- -	- -	2 13%	
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	16 2%	12 1%	3 2%	2 2%	- -	1 5%	- -	- -	
Don't know		48 5%	43 5%	4 2%	4 4%	- -	- -	- -	- -	
NET: Agree		909 87%	736 86%	155 89%	97 88%	10 84%	15 95%	19 100%	14 87%	
NET: Disagree		90 9%	72 8%	14 8%	9 9%	2 16%	1 5%	- -	2 13%	
Base for stats		999	808	169	106	12	16	19	16	
Mean Score		3.40	3.38	3.50 a	3.48	3.46	3.54	3.69	3.40	
Standard Deviation		.696	.688	.706 a	.721	.787	.781	.474	.731	
Standard Error		.022	.024	.054	.070	.218	.195	.109	.189	
Error variance		*	*	*	*	.05	.04	.01	.04	

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_2. Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	462 44%	29 36%	28 49%	26 44%	10 35%	33 48%	35 39%	79 55%	16 58%	18 32%	13 39%	27 44%	54 43%	14 41%
Tend to agree (3.0)	431 41%	37 47%	22 39%	29 49%	11 40%	25 36%	39 43%	48 33%	5 17%	26 45%	13 41%	29 46%	61 48%	15 44%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	83 8%	6 7%	6 10%	4 7%	2 7%	3 5%	7 7%	11 8%	3 12%	9 16%	5 14%	4 6%	5 4%	3 9%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	19 2%	3 3%	- -	- -	2 7%	1 1%	2 3%	4 3%	1 5%	1 2%	1 3%	1 1%	1 1%	- -
Don't know	52 5%	5 6%	1 2%	- -	3 11%	7 10%	8 8%	2 1%	2 8%	3 6%	1 3%	2 3%	5 4%	2 6%
NET: Agree	893 85%	66 83%	50 88%	55 93%	21 75%	58 84%	74 82%	127 88%	21 75%	44 76%	26 80%	56 90%	115 92%	30 85%
NET: Disagree	102 10%	9 11%	6 10%	4 7%	4 14%	4 6%	9 10%	15 11%	5 17%	10 18%	6 17%	5 8%	6 5%	3 9%
Base for stats	995	75	56	59	25	62	83	142	25	54	32	60	121	33
Mean Score	3.34	3.24	3.40	3.37	3.15	3.45	3.29	3.42	3.40	3.12	3.20	3.36	3.40	3.35
Standard Deviation	.713	.750	.673	.619	.895	.674	.736	.759	.914	.766	.803	.672	.604	.652
Standard Error	.023	.085	.089	.080	.175	.089	.085	.063	.191	.112	.140	.085	.054	.112
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.03	.01	.01	*	.04	.01	.02	.01	*	.01

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_2. Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0) 462 44%	16 41%	41 43%	22 47%	242 48%	220 40%	38 38%	76 39%	101 50%	71 45%	77 43%	99 46%	114 39%	172 48%	176 45%
Tend to agree	(3.0) 431 41%	17 44%	39 41%	16 34%	200 40%	231 42%	41 41%	82 43%	69 34%	65 42%	81 45%	92 43%	123 42%	134 37%	173 44%
Tend to disagree	(2.0) 83 8%	4 10%	6 6%	6 12%	29 6%	54 10%	12 12%	22 11%	15 8%	8 5%	13 7%	13 6%	34 11%	23 6%	26 7%
Strongly disagree	(1.0) 19 2%	- -	1 1%	1 2%	8 2%	11 2%	5 5%	3 2%	4 2%	4 2%	1 *	4 2%	8 3%	7 2%	5 1%
Don't know	52 5%	2 5%	8 8%	2 4%	22 4%	30 5%	5 5%	10 5%	14 7%	9 6%	8 4%	7 3%	15 5%	23 6%	14 4%
NET: Agree	893 85%	33 84%	80 85%	38 82%	441 88%	452 83%	79 79%	158 82%	170 84%	137 87%	159 88%	191 89%	237 81%	306 85%	349 89%
NET: Disagree	102 10%	4 10%	7 7%	7 14%	37 7%	65 12%	16 16%	25 13%	19 9%	11 7%	14 8%	17 8%	41 14%	30 8%	31 8%
Base for stats	995	37	86	45	478	517	95	183	188	148	173	208	278	336	380
Mean Score	3.34	3.32	3.38	3.32	3.41 b	3.28	3.18	3.26	3.42 ab	3.38	3.36	3.38 a	3.23	3.40 a	3.37 a
Standard Deviation	.713	.667	.666	.787	.681	.736	.830	.731	.721 ab	.698	.646	.685 a	.766	.710 a	.667 a
Standard Error	.023	.108	.071	.115	.032	.032	.088	.054	.051	.061	.049	.046	.046	.039	.034
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_2. Farmers and people living in rural areas should accept the presence of wolves and other wild animals: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Diet						
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	462 44%	366 43%	89 51% a	55 50%	5 39%	8 51%	12 64%	8 54%
Tend to agree (3.0)	431 41%	361 42%	60 35%	39 35%	6 45%	5 31%	7 36%	4 27%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	83 8%	70 8%	10 6%	6 6%	2 16%	- -	- -	2 11%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	19 2%	15 2%	3 2%	1 1%	- -	1 5%	- -	1 7%
Don't know	52 5%	40 5%	12 7%	9 9%	- -	2 13%	- -	- -
NET: Agree	893 85%	727 85%	149 86%	94 85%	10 84%	13 81%	19 100%	13 81%
NET: Disagree	102 10%	85 10%	13 7%	7 7%	2 16%	1 5%	- -	3 19%
Base for stats	995	812	162	101	12	14	19	16
Mean Score	3.34	3.33	3.45 a	3.46	3.23	3.46	3.64	3.28
Standard Deviation	.713	.710	.699	.664	.735	.817	.492	.963
Standard Error	.023	.025	.055	.066	.204	.218	.113	.249
Error variance	*	*	*	*	.04	.05	.01	.06

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_3. Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	632 60%	46 57%	32 57%	36 61%	14 51%	46 67%	47 52%	94 66%	20 71%	35 60%	17 54%	37 60%	80 63%	22 63%
Tend to agree (3.0)	327 31%	22 28%	23 40%	19 32%	11 39%	18 27%	34 37%	41 28%	5 16%	17 30%	11 32%	21 34%	40 32%	9 25%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	40 4%	8 10%	1 2%	1 2%	3 10%	1 2%	5 5%	3 2%	2 8%	2 4%	1 3%	2 3%	1 1%	3 9%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	16 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 2%	- -	1 1%	2 2%	4 3%	- -	- -	2 5%	1 2%	2 2%	- -
Don't know	32 3%	3 4%	- -	2 4%	- -	2 3%	3 4%	2 1%	1 4%	4 6%	2 6%	1 1%	3 2%	1 3%
NET: Agree	959 92%	68 85%	55 97%	55 93%	25 90%	64 93%	81 89%	135 94%	24 88%	52 90%	28 86%	58 94%	120 95%	31 88%
NET: Disagree	56 5%	9 11%	2 3%	2 4%	3 10%	2 3%	7 7%	7 5%	2 8%	2 4%	3 8%	3 5%	3 2%	3 9%
Base for stats	1015	76	57	57	28	66	88	142	26	54	31	61	122	34
Mean Score	3.55	3.46	3.52	3.57	3.41	3.64	3.44	3.58	3.66	3.59	3.42	3.54	3.61	3.56
Standard Deviation	.650	.740	.632	.635	.682	.601	.710	.683	.640	.576	.821	.652	.591	.669
Standard Error	.020	.083	.083	.083	.127	.076	.080	.057	.131	.084	.145	.082	.052	.113
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.02	.01	.02	.01	*	.01

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_3. Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0) 632 60%	22 56%	57 60%	28 59%	299 60%	333 61%	56 56%	110 57%	117 58%	92 58%	107 59%	151 70%	166 57%	209 58%	258 65%
											abcde				ab
Tend to agree	(3.0) 327 31%	15 39%	28 30%	15 33%	161 32%	166 30%	29 29%	64 33%	68 34%	52 33%	56 31%	58 27%	94 32%	120 33%	114 29%
Tend to disagree	(2.0) 40 4%	1 2%	3 3%	3 6%	17 3%	22 4%	7 7%	11 6%	6 3%	4 2%	10 6%	2 1%	18 6%	10 3%	12 3%
							f	f			f		bc		
Strongly disagree	(1.0) 16 2%	- -	- -	1 2%	6 1%	10 2%	4 5%	3 1%	4 2%	4 3%	- -	1 *	7 2%	8 2%	1 *
							ef		e		*		c	c	
Don't know	32 3%	1 3%	7 7%	- -	16 3%	15 3%	2 2%	6 3%	8 4%	5 3%	8 4%	3 1%	8 3%	13 4%	11 3%
NET: Agree	959 92%	38 95%	84 90%	43 92%	460 92%	499 91%	86 86%	174 90%	185 91%	144 92%	163 90%	209 97%	260 89%	328 91%	371 94%
											abcde				a
NET: Disagree	56 5%	1 2%	3 3%	4 8%	24 5%	32 6%	12 12%	14 7%	10 5%	8 5%	10 6%	3 1%	26 9%	18 5%	13 3%
							cf	f	f	f	f		c		
Base for stats	1015	38	87	47	484	532	97	188	195	152	173	211	285	346	384
Mean Score	3.55	3.55	3.62	3.49	3.55	3.55	3.41	3.50	3.53	3.53	3.56	3.70	3.47	3.53	3.64
											abcde				ab
Standard Deviation	.650	.552	.554	.711	.632	.667	.823	.675	.656	.686	.604	.507	.729	.668	.556
Standard Error	.020	.088	.058	.102	.029	.028	.086	.049	.046	.059	.046	.034	.043	.036	.028
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_3. Farmers and people living in rural areas should use non-lethal methods to keep wolves away: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	173	110	13	16	19	15	
Total		1047	173	110	12	16	19	16	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree	(4.0)	632 60%	502 59%	118 68% a	77 69% a	8 60%	11 68%	13 69%	9 60%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	327 31%	272 32%	48 28%	29 26%	2 15%	5 32%	6 31%	6 40%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	40 4%	36 4%	3 2%	1 1%	2 16%	- -	- -	- -
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	16 2%	14 2%	2 1%	1 1%	1 9%	- -	- -	- -
Don't know		32 3%	28 3%	2 1%	2 2%	- -	- -	- -	- -
NET: Agree		959 92%	774 91%	166 96% a	106 96%	9 75%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%
NET: Disagree		56 5%	50 6%	5 3%	2 2%	3 25%	- -	- -	- -
Base for stats		1015	824	171	108	12	16	19	16
Mean Score		3.55	3.53	3.64 a	3.68 a	3.26	3.68	3.69	3.60
Standard Deviation		.650	.662	.589	.552	1.068	.481	.473	.506
Standard Error		.020	.023	.045	.053	.296	.120	.109	.131
Error variance		*	*	*	*	.09	.01	.01	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_4. The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	576 55%	41 52%	34 59%	36 61%	15 55%	42 61%	43 47%	85 59%	15 53%	32 56%	21 65%	37 59%	65 52%	23 66%
Tend to agree (3.0)	381 36%	27 34%	20 35%	23 39%	9 31%	23 33%	36 39%	49 34%	10 38%	18 32%	10 32%	24 38%	49 39%	8 22%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	37 4%	4 5%	2 4%	- -	3 11%	- -	4 4%	6 4%	1 5%	2 4%	- -	- -	3 2%	3 9%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	19 2%	2 2%	- -	- -	1 3%	2 3%	3 4%	2 1%	1 4%	1 2%	1 3%	- -	3 2%	- -
Don't know	34 3%	5 6%	1 2%	- -	- -	2 3%	6 6%	2 1%	- -	3 6%	- -	2 3%	6 4%	1 3%
NET: Agree	957 91%	69 87%	54 95%	59 100%	24 86%	64 94%	79 86%	134 93%	25 91%	51 88%	32 97%	60 97%	114 91%	31 88%
NET: Disagree	56 5%	6 7%	2 4%	- -	4 14%	2 3%	7 7%	8 6%	2 9%	4 6%	1 3%	- -	6 5%	3 9%
Base for stats	1013	74	56	59	28	67	85	142	28	54	33	60	120	34
Mean Score	3.50	3.46	3.56	3.61	3.37	3.56	3.39	3.53	3.40	3.51	3.60	3.61	3.47	3.59
Standard Deviation	.659	.713	.576	.492	.818	.664	.743	.646	.783	.689	.643	.492	.663	.659
Standard Error	.021	.081	.076	.063	.152	.084	.085	.054	.157	.100	.110	.062	.059	.111
Error variance	*	.01	.01	*	.02	.01	.01	*	.02	.01	.01	*	*	.01

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_4. The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age			
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c	
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408	
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree	(4.0)	576	18	43	25	289	287	49	91	101	87	111	137	140	189	247
	55%	46%	46%	53%	58%	52%	49%	47%	50%	56%	61%	64%	48%	52%	63%	
										abc	abc				ab	
Tend to agree	(3.0)	381	16	41	18	169	212	35	83	78	58	60	68	117	136	127
	36%	42%	43%	38%	34%	39%	35%	43%	38%	37%	33%	32%	40%	38%	32%	
									ef				c			
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	37	2	4	3	24	13	10	11	4	6	3	4	21	10	7
	4%	5%	4%	6%	5%	2%	10%	6%	2%	4%	2%	2%	7%	3%	2%	
					b		cef	cef					bc			
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	19	-	2	1	5	13	1	2	11	1	-	3	3	13	3
	2%	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	6%	1%	-	1%	1%	3%	1%
										bdef					ac	
Don't know		34	3	4	-	12	22	5	6	8	4	8	3	12	12	10
	3%	8%	4%	-	2%	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%	1%	4%	3%	3%	
					f											
NET: Agree		957	35	84	43	458	498	83	174	179	146	170	205	257	325	375
	91%	87%	90%	92%	92%	91%	83%	90%	89%	93%	94%	96%	88%	90%	95%	
										a	ac	abc			ab	
NET: Disagree		56	2	6	4	29	27	11	13	15	7	3	7	24	22	10
	5%	5%	6%	8%	6%	5%	11%	7%	8%	4%	2%	3%	8%	6%	2%	
							ef	e	ef				c	c		
Base for stats	1013	36	90	47	488	525	94	187	194	153	173	211	281	347	384	
Mean Score	3.50	3.44	3.40	3.43	3.52	3.47	3.38	3.41	3.38	3.52	3.62	3.60	3.40	3.44	3.61	
										abc	abc				ab	
Standard Deviation	.659	.602	.675	.704	.642	.674	.727	.649	.795	.613	.520	.601	.675	.722	.565	
Standard Error	.021	.099	.070	.101	.030	.029	.077	.047	.056	.053	.039	.040	.041	.039	.028	
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_4. The Government and European Union should fund and equip farmers with the tools to protect farm animals from wolf attacks: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	576	462	105	72	8	10	10	4
		55%	54%	60%	65%	68%	63%	52%	27%
					a				
Tend to agree	(3.0)	381	318	54	31	3	6	8	6
		36%	37%	31%	28%	25%	37%	42%	38%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	37	28	6	1	-	-	1	4
		4%	3%	4%	1%	-	-	6%	28%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	19	12	5	4	1	-	-	-
		2%	1%	3%	4%	7%	-	-	-
Don't know		34	31	3	2	-	-	-	1
		3%	4%	2%	2%	-	-	-	6%
NET: Agree		957	780	159	103	12	16	18	10
		91%	92%	92%	94%	93%	100%	94%	66%
NET: Disagree		56	40	11	5	1	-	1	4
		5%	5%	7%	4%	7%	-	6%	28%
Base for stats		1013	820	170	108	12	16	19	15
Mean Score		3.50	3.50	3.52	3.58	3.54	3.63	3.46	2.99
Standard Deviation		.659	.640	.708	.699	.855	.499	.625	.797
Standard Error		.021	.022	.054	.067	.237	.125	.143	.213
Error variance		*	*	*	*	.06	.02	.02	.05

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_5. Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	641 61%	50 63%	33 58%	31 53%	18 65%	48 70%	52 57%	96 67%	16 58%	33 58%	18 54%	35 57%	80 64%	22 63%
Tend to agree (3.0)	279 27%	15 19%	17 30%	16 27%	6 21%	16 23%	22 24%	35 24%	10 38%	16 28%	8 23%	24 38%	34 27%	7 19%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	56 5%	9 11%	2 3%	3 5%	2 7%	- -	6 6%	7 5%	- -	4 6%	6 17%	2 3%	4 3%	4 12%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	30 3%	2 2%	3 6%	5 8%	1 3%	2 3%	3 4%	4 3%	- -	1 2%	1 3%	- -	3 2%	- -
Don't know	41 4%	4 5%	2 3%	4 7%	1 4%	2 3%	8 9%	2 1%	1 4%	4 6%	1 3%	1 1%	5 4%	2 5%
NET: Agree	920 88%	65 82%	50 88%	47 80%	24 85%	64 94%	74 82%	131 91%	26 96%	50 86%	25 77%	59 95%	114 91%	29 83%
NET: Disagree	86 8%	11 13%	5 9%	8 14%	3 11%	2 3%	9 10%	11 8%	- -	5 8%	6 20%	2 3%	7 6%	4 12%
Base for stats	1006	75	55	55	27	66	83	142	26	54	32	61	121	33
Mean Score	3.52	3.50	3.45	3.33	3.53	3.66	3.48	3.57	3.61	3.51	3.32	3.54	3.58	3.54
Standard Deviation	.734	.799	.823	.943	.799	.646	.794	.721	.498	.715	.873	.568	.683	.718
Standard Error	.023	.090	.110	.126	.151	.082	.092	.060	.102	.104	.152	.072	.061	.123
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.02	.02	.01	.01	*	.01	.01	.02	.01	*	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_5. Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	641 61%	24 60%	58 62%	26 56%	314 63%	328 60%	53 53%	100 52%	130 64%	95 61%	113 63%	150 70%	153 52%	225 63%	263 67%
Tend to agree (3.0)	279 27%	13 32%	28 30%	13 28%	125 25%	154 28%	27 27%	64 33%	49 24%	41 26%	49 27%	49 23%	91 31%	90 25%	98 25%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	56 5%	2 5%	2 2%	5 10%	28 6%	28 5%	8 8%	18 9%	10 5%	8 5%	8 4%	5 2%	25 9%	18 5%	13 3%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	30 3%	- -	2 2%	2 4%	16 3%	14 3%	9 9%	4 2%	6 3%	5 3%	2 1%	5 2%	13 4%	10 3%	7 2%
Don't know	41 4%	1 3%	3 3%	1 2%	17 3%	23 4%	3 3%	7 4%	8 4%	8 5%	9 5%	6 3%	11 4%	16 4%	14 4%
NET: Agree	920 88%	36 92%	87 92%	39 84%	439 88%	481 88%	80 80%	164 85%	179 88%	137 87%	162 90%	199 93%	244 83%	315 88%	361 91%
NET: Disagree	86 8%	2 5%	4 4%	7 14%	44 9%	42 8%	16 17%	22 11%	16 8%	12 8%	10 5%	10 4%	38 13%	28 8%	19 5%
Base for stats	1006	38	91	46	483	524	96	186	194	149	172	209	282	344	380
Mean Score	3.52	3.57	3.57	3.38	3.53	3.52	3.29	3.40	3.56	3.53	3.59	3.65	3.36	3.54	3.62
Standard Deviation	.734	.603	.664	.843	.751	.719	.957	.752	.727	.736	.637	.644	.827	.730	.640
Standard Error	.023	.096	.069	.122	.035	.031	.100	.055	.051	.064	.048	.043	.050	.040	.032
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_5. Only authorities should have the authority to permit the killing of wolves to protect farm animals when necessary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Diet						
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	641 61%	513 60%	119 68% a	81 74% a	4 32%	13 81%	13 68%	7 48%
Tend to agree (3.0)	279 27%	235 28% b	35 20%	21 19%	5 38%	2 12%	4 21%	3 20%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	56 5%	44 5%	10 6%	4 4%	3 21%	-	1 5%	2 13%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	30 3%	24 3%	5 3%	3 3%	1 9%	-	1 6%	-
Don't know	41 4%	35 4%	5 3%	1 1%	-	1 7%	-	3 19%
NET: Agree	920 88%	748 88%	153 88%	102 93%	9 70%	15 93%	17 89%	10 67%
NET: Disagree	86 8%	68 8%	15 9%	7 6%	4 30%	-	2 11%	2 13%
Base for stats	1006	816	168	109	12	15	19	13
Mean Score	3.52	3.52	3.59	3.66	2.93	3.87	3.51	3.43
Standard Deviation	.734	.730	.736	.681	.976	.352	.858	.787
Standard Error	.023	.026	.057	.065	.271	.091	.197	.227
Error variance	*	*	*	*	.07	.01	.04	.05

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_6. Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	523 50%	43 54%	26 46%	33 55%	12 45%	35 51%	36 40%	78 54%	15 54%	32 56%	18 56%	29 47%	64 51%	18 52%
Tend to agree (3.0)	403 38%	26 32%	25 44%	23 39%	14 49%	28 41%	40 44%	47 33%	8 29%	19 32%	9 26%	27 43%	52 41%	12 33%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	61 6%	5 6%	1 2%	2 4%	- -	1 1%	6 6%	10 7%	2 8%	3 6%	4 12%	5 8%	8 6%	2 6%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	21 2%	2 2%	3 5%	- -	1 3%	1 1%	2 3%	3 2%	1 4%	1 2%	2 5%	- -	1 1%	1 3%
Don't know	38 4%	4 5%	2 3%	1 2%	1 3%	3 5%	6 7%	6 4%	1 5%	2 4%	- -	1 1%	1 1%	2 5%
NET: Agree	926 88%	69 86%	51 90%	56 95%	26 93%	63 92%	77 84%	125 87%	23 83%	51 88%	27 83%	56 91%	116 92%	30 86%
NET: Disagree	83 8%	7 9%	4 7%	2 4%	1 3%	2 3%	8 9%	13 9%	3 12%	5 8%	6 17%	5 8%	8 7%	3 9%
Base for stats	1009	75	55	58	27	65	85	138	26	55	33	61	124	33
Mean Score	3.42	3.45	3.35	3.53	3.39	3.49	3.31	3.45	3.39	3.48	3.33	3.40	3.44	3.43
Standard Deviation	.701	.735	.774	.572	.680	.614	.720	.727	.840	.715	.902	.640	.644	.750
Standard Error	.022	.083	.103	.074	.129	.079	.083	.061	.171	.103	.155	.081	.056	.129
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.03	.01	.02	.01	*	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_6. Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	523 50%	17 43%	45 48%	20 43%	272 54%	252 46%	36 36%	80 41%	105 52%	77 49%	95 53%	130 61%	116 39%	182 51%	226 57%
					b				ab	a	ab	abd		a	a
Tend to agree (3.0)	403 38%	17 44%	41 43%	17 36%	179 36%	224 41%	44 44%	84 44%	71 35%	63 40%	71 39%	70 33%	128 44%	134 37%	141 36%
								f					c		
Tend to disagree (2.0)	61 6%	1 2%	5 5%	7 15%	28 6%	34 6%	14 14%	16 8%	12 6%	6 4%	7 4%	6 3%	30 10%	18 5%	13 3%
				ab			cdef	f					bc		
Strongly disagree (1.0)	21 2%	-	1 1%	2 4%	7 1%	15 3%	5 5%	8 4%	5 2%	2 1%	-	1 *	13 5%	7 2%	1 *
		-					ef	ef	e				c	c	
Don't know	38 4%	4 10%	3 3%	1 2%	15 3%	23 4%	1 1%	5 3%	10 5%	9 6%	7 4%	6 3%	6 2%	19 5%	13 3%
NET: Agree	926 88%	35 88%	85 91%	37 79%	450 90%	476 87%	79 79%	164 85%	175 87%	140 89%	167 92%	200 94%	243 83%	316 88%	367 93%
			c						a	ab	abc			ab	
NET: Disagree	83 8%	1 2%	6 6%	9 19%	34 7%	48 9%	19 20%	24 12%	17 8%	8 5%	7 4%	7 3%	43 15%	25 7%	14 4%
				ab			cdef	def	f				bc	c	
Base for stats	1009	36	91	46	485	524	99	188	192	148	174	208	286	341	381
Mean Score	3.42	3.46	3.42	3.20	3.48	3.36	3.11	3.26	3.43	3.45	3.51	3.59	3.21	3.44	3.55
					b				ab	ab	ab	abcd		a	ab
Standard Deviation	.701	.557	.649	.856	.669	.726	.846	.784	.724	.647	.578	.578	.808	.691	.578
Standard Error	.022	.093	.067	.124	.031	.031	.088	.057	.051	.056	.044	.039	.048	.038	.029
Error variance	*	.01	*	.02	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q3_6. Humans should find a way to coexist with wolves: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		Total	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	523 50%	420 49%	93 54%	59 54%	5 39%	10 62%	11 58%	8 53%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	403 38%	336 39%	60 34%	38 34%	5 38%	5 31%	7 36%	5 34%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	61 6%	51 6%	10 6%	6 5%	2 16%	-	1 5%	1 8%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	21 2%	14 2%	6 3%	5 5%	1 7%	-	-	-
Don't know		38 4%	30 4%	4 2%	2 2%	-	1 6%	-	1 6%
NET: Agree		926 88%	756 89%	153 88%	97 88%	10 77%	15 94%	18 95%	13 87%
NET: Disagree		83 8%	65 8%	16 9%	11 10%	3 23%	-	1 5%	1 8%
Base for stats		1009	821	169	108	12	15	19	15
Mean Score		3.42	3.42	3.42	3.40	3.08	3.67	3.53	3.48
Standard Deviation		.701	.686	.762	.801	.946	.488	.613	.663
Standard Error		.022	.024	.059	.077	.262	.126	.141	.177
Error variance		*	*	*	.01	.07	.02	.02	.03

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4 Summary: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Always acceptable	Sometimes acceptable	Rarely acceptable	Never acceptable	Don't know	NET: At least sometimes acceptable	NET: Rarely/never acceptable
Unweighted Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	1047	67	336	370	223	52	402	593
	100%	6%	32%	35%	21%	5%	38%	57%
Killing wolves to control their population size	1047	76	316	332	268	54	392	601
	100%	7%	30%	32%	26%	5%	37%	57%
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	1047	50	266	352	278	100	316	631
	100%	5%	25%	34%	27%	10%	30%	60%
Killing wolves for their fur	1047	16	31	100	865	35	47	965
	100%	2%	3%	10%	83%	3%	5%	92%
Killing wolves for leisure	1047	13	26	50	927	30	40	978
	100%	1%	2%	5%	89%	3%	4%	93%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4 Nets summary (At least sometimes acceptable): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	402	27	27	18	14	22	34	56	10	25	8	27	41	19
	38%	34%	47%	31%	49%	33%	37%	39%	37%	43%	24%	43%	33%	56%
Killing wolves to control their population size	392	30	20	18	13	21	30	62	13	25	9	20	50	10
	37%	38%	34%	30%	48%	31%	33%	43%	46%	43%	27%	32%	40%	29%
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	316	24	15	18	11	14	28	47	7	16	10	23	33	8
	30%	30%	27%	31%	38%	20%	30%	33%	26%	28%	30%	37%	26%	22%
Killing wolves for their fur	47	3	3	2	3	3	7	8	-	1	-	4	6	2
	5%	4%	6%	4%	11%	5%	8%	5%	-	2%	-	6%	5%	6%
Killing wolves for leisure	40	4	2	3	4	2	4	4	-	2	1	4	1	2
	4%	5%	4%	5%	14%	3%	4%	3%	-	4%	3%	7%	1%	6%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4 Nets summary (At least sometimes acceptable): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	402	16	40	18	220	183	39	76	60	70	75	83	115	129	157
	38%	41%	43%	37%	44%	33%	39%	39%	29%	44%	41%	39%	39%	36%	40%
					b			c		c	c	c			
Killing wolves to control their population size	392	16	36	21	221	172	38	71	56	62	72	94	109	117	166
	37%	39%	38%	46%	44%	31%	38%	37%	28%	39%	40%	44%	37%	33%	42%
					b					c	c	c			b
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	316	15	33	16	193	123	38	56	45	52	55	70	94	97	125
	30%	38%	35%	34%	39%	23%	38%	29%	22%	33%	30%	33%	32%	27%	32%
					b		c			c		c			
Killing wolves for their fur	47	3	1	1	29	18	11	9	7	7	7	6	20	14	13
	5%	7%	1%	2%	6%	3%	11%	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%	7%	4%	3%
					b		bcdef						c		
Killing wolves for leisure	40	2	2	2	27	12	8	11	7	7	4	3	19	14	7
	4%	5%	2%	4%	5%	2%	8%	6%	3%	4%	2%	1%	6%	4%	2%
					b		ef	f					c		

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4 Nets summary (At least sometimes acceptable): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

	Diet							
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	402	332	60	41	6	3	5	6
	38%	39%	35%	37%	46%	19%	26%	36%
Killing wolves to control their population size	392	330	53	35	5	7	4	3
	37%	39%	31%	31%	36%	43%	21%	22%
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	316	258	51	30	6	7	3	5
	30%	30%	29%	27%	47%	43%	17%	30%
Killing wolves for their fur	47	37	11	2	1	1	1	6
	5%	4%	6%	2%	9%	7%	5%	36%
Killing wolves for leisure	40	29	10	1	2	1	1	4
	4%	3%	6%	1%	18%	7%	5%	28%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4 Nets summary (Rarely/never acceptable): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions													
	Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Killing wolves for leisure	978	74	54	53	23	63	85	138	26	54	31	58	118	33
	93%	94%	94%	90%	82%	92%	94%	96%	96%	94%	94%	93%	94%	94%
Killing wolves to control their population size	601	45	33	35	13	43	54	76	14	31	22	40	73	22
	57%	57%	57%	59%	48%	63%	59%	53%	50%	53%	68%	65%	58%	63%
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	593	48	25	35	12	40	50	86	16	29	24	33	79	15
	57%	61%	45%	59%	44%	58%	55%	59%	58%	50%	73%	54%	63%	44%
Killing wolves for their fur	965	72	52	52	24	62	79	133	26	55	31	56	118	33
	92%	91%	91%	88%	86%	91%	87%	93%	96%	96%	95%	91%	94%	94%
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	631	50	36	35	16	46	51	87	16	34	20	34	81	23
	60%	63%	63%	59%	58%	68%	56%	60%	58%	60%	62%	54%	64%	66%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4 Nets summary (Rarely/never acceptable): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Killing wolves for leisure	978	36	88	45	458	520	84	177	191	145	173	207	261	337	380
	93%	90%	93%	96%	92%	95%	85%	92%	94%	93%	96%	97%	89%	94%	96%
						a			a		a	ab		a	a
Killing wolves to control their population size	601	24	52	24	256	345	56	115	136	86	99	109	171	222	208
	57%	61%	56%	52%	51%	63%	56%	59%	67%	55%	55%	51%	58%	62%	53%
						a			def					c	
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	593	22	49	29	262	330	57	109	133	77	96	120	166	210	216
	57%	55%	52%	63%	52%	60%	57%	56%	66%	49%	53%	56%	57%	58%	55%
						a			def						
Killing wolves for their fur	965	37	88	46	456	508	84	179	188	143	166	204	264	332	369
	92%	93%	94%	98%	91%	93%	84%	93%	93%	91%	92%	95%	90%	92%	94%
								a	a			a			
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	631	20	54	28	267	364	53	114	145	93	107	120	166	238	227
	60%	51%	57%	61%	53%	67%	53%	59%	72%	59%	59%	56%	57%	66%	57%
						a			abdef					ac	

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4 Nets summary (Rarely/never acceptable): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable?

BASE: All respondents

	Diet							
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Killing wolves for leisure	978 93%	796 93%	162 93%	109 99% ab	9 75%	15 93%	18 95%	10 65%
Killing wolves to control their population size	601 57%	474 56%	115 66% a	73 67% a	7 55%	8 51%	15 79%	11 72%
Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals	593 57%	478 56%	105 61%	64 58%	6 45%	11 69%	14 74%	10 64%
Killing wolves for their fur	965 92%	784 92%	160 92%	107 97% a	9 75%	15 93%	18 95%	10 64%
Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	631 60%	504 59%	114 66%	73 66%	7 53%	8 51%	16 83%	11 70%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_1. Killing wolves for leisure: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0) 13 1%	2 3%	1 2%	1 2%	1 4%	1 1%	- -	- -	- -	1 2%	1 3%	2 4%	- -	- -
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0) 26 2%	2 3%	1 2%	2 4%	3 11%	1 2%	4 4%	4 3%	- -	1 2%	- -	2 3%	1 1%	2 6%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0) 50 5%	2 2%	2 3%	3 5%	4 14%	4 6%	8 9%	7 5%	2 9%	2 4%	1 3%	1 2%	4 3%	2 6%
Never acceptable	(1.0) 927 89%	72 91%	52 91%	50 85%	19 68%	59 86%	77 85%	131 91%	24 87%	52 90%	30 91%	57 92%	114 91%	31 88%
Don't know	30 3%	1 1%	1 2%	3 5%	1 3%	3 5%	2 3%	2 1%	1 4%	1 2%	1 3%	- -	7 5%	- -
NET: At least sometimes acceptable	40 4%	4 5%	2 4%	3 5%	4 14%	2 3%	4 4%	4 3%	- -	2 4%	1 3%	4 7%	1 1%	2 6%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable	978 93%	74 94%	54 94%	53 90%	23 82%	63 92%	85 94%	138 96%	26 96%	54 94%	31 94%	58 93%	118 94%	33 94%
Base for stats	1017	78	56	56	27	65	89	142	26	57	32	62	119	35
Mean Score	1.14	1.15	1.13	1.18	1.48	1.15	1.17	1.10	1.09	1.14	1.14	1.18	1.05	1.18
Standard Deviation	.502	.587	.524	.581	.853	.505	.472	.390	.290	.542	.583	.655	.247	.525
Standard Error	.016	.065	.069	.077	.161	.065	.053	.032	.059	.077	.102	.082	.022	.088
Error variance	*	*	*	.01	.03	*	*	*	*	.01	.01	.01	*	.01

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_1. Killing wolves for leisure: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age			
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c	
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408	
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Always acceptable	(4.0)	13	2	-	1	8	6	2	4	3	3	1	-	6	6	1
	1%	5%	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	2%	*	
		b					f	f	f				c	c		
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	26	-	2	1	20	6	6	7	4	4	3	3	13	7	6
	2%	-	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	6%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%
						b	f							c		
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	50	2	4	2	29	21	6	13	10	8	6	8	18	18	14
	5%	5%	4%	4%	6%	4%	6%	7%	5%	5%	3%	4%	6%	5%	4%	
Never acceptable	(1.0)	927	34	84	43	428	499	79	164	181	138	167	199	243	318	366
	89%	86%	89%	92%	86%	91%	79%	85%	89%	88%	92%	93%	83%	89%	93%	
					a		a				ab	ab		a	a	
Don't know		30	2	4	-	15	15	8	5	5	5	4	4	13	9	8
	3%	5%	4%	-	3%	3%	8%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	
							cef									
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		40	2	2	2	27	12	8	11	7	7	4	3	19	14	7
	4%	5%	2%	4%	5%	2%	8%	6%	3%	4%	2%	1%	6%	4%	2%	
					b		ef	f					c			
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		978	36	88	45	458	520	84	177	191	145	173	207	261	337	380
	93%	90%	93%	96%	92%	95%	85%	92%	94%	93%	96%	97%	89%	94%	96%	
					a		a			a	a	ab		a	a	
Base for stats	1017	38	90	47	485	532	92	188	198	152	177	210	280	350	387	
Mean Score	1.14	1.20	1.09	1.15	1.19	1.10	1.25	1.21	1.13	1.17	1.08	1.07	1.22	1.15	1.08	
					b		ef	ef	f				c	c		
Standard Deviation	.502	.683	.368	.554	.573	.423	.675	.610	.490	.568	.381	.307	.631	.525	.342	
Standard Error	.016	.111	.038	.079	.027	.018	.072	.044	.034	.049	.028	.021	.038	.028	.017	
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_1. Killing wolves for leisure: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	13	9	4	1	-	1	1	1
		1%	1%	2%	1%	-	7%	5%	7%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	26	20	5	-	2	-	-	3
		2%	2%	3%	-	18%	-	-	21%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	50	43	7	6	1	-	-	-
		5%	5%	4%	5%	9%	-	-	-
Never acceptable	(1.0)	927	753	155	104	8	15	18	10
		89%	88%	89%	94%	66%	93%	95%	65%
Don't know		30	27	2	-	1	-	-	1
		3%	3%	1%	-	7%	-	-	7%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		40	29	10	1	2	1	1	4
		4%	3%	6%	1%	18%	7%	5%	28%
				c					
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		978	796	162	109	9	15	18	10
		93%	93%	93%	99%	75%	93%	95%	65%
				ab					
Base for stats		1017	825	171	110	12	16	19	14
Mean Score		1.14	1.13	1.18	1.08	1.48	1.21	1.16	1.68
Standard Deviation		.502	.480	.602	.357	.833	.797	.692	1.105
Standard Error		.016	.017	.046	.034	.240	.199	.159	.295
Error variance		*	*	*	*	.06	.04	.03	.09

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_2. Killing wolves to control their population size: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0) 76 7%	4 5%	3 5%	4 7%	5 18%	4 6%	3 4%	15 10%	2 9%	7 12%	4 12%	4 6%	11 8%	- -
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0) 316 30%	26 33%	17 29%	13 23%	9 31%	17 25%	26 29%	47 33%	10 38%	18 30%	5 15%	16 26%	39 31%	10 29%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0) 332 32%	18 22%	21 36%	24 41%	6 21%	24 35%	29 31%	43 30%	10 37%	19 33%	9 27%	20 31%	43 34%	12 35%
Never acceptable	(1.0) 268 26%	28 35%	12 21%	11 18%	8 28%	19 27%	25 28%	34 23%	3 12%	11 20%	13 40%	21 33%	30 24%	9 27%
Don't know	54 5%	4 5%	5 9%	7 12%	1 3%	4 6%	8 8%	6 4%	1 4%	2 4%	2 5%	2 3%	3 2%	3 8%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable	392 37%	30 38%	20 34%	18 30%	13 48%	21 31%	30 33%	62 43%	13 46%	25 43%	9 27%	20 32%	50 40%	10 29%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable	601 57%	45 57%	33 57%	35 59%	13 48%	43 63%	54 59%	76 53%	14 50%	31 53%	22 68%	40 65%	73 58%	22 63%
Base for stats	993	75	52	52	27	64	83	138	26	55	31	60	123	32
Mean Score	2.20	2.08	2.20	2.21	2.39	2.10	2.10	2.31	2.44	2.36	1.98	2.05	2.25	2.02
Standard Deviation	.925	.967	.860	.863	1.105	.908	.883	.959	.843	.956	1.063	.936	.924	.795
Standard Error	.029	.109	.118	.119	.209	.117	.102	.080	.172	.138	.188	.119	.082	.138
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.04	.01	.01	.01	.03	.02	.04	.01	.01	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_2. Killing wolves to control their population size: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age			
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c	
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408	
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Always acceptable	(4.0)	76	3	3	5	48	28	8	9	15	10	14	20	16	25	34
	7%	7%	3%	10%	10%	5%	8%	5%	7%	7%	8%	10%	6%	7%	9%	
					b											
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	316	13	33	17	173	143	31	62	41	51	58	74	92	92	132
	30%	32%	35%	36%	35%	26%	31%	32%	20%	33%	32%	35%	32%	26%	33%	
					b		c	c		c	c	c			b	
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	332	12	30	14	172	160	29	63	64	50	60	65	93	114	125
	32%	31%	32%	29%	34%	29%	30%	33%	32%	32%	33%	30%	32%	32%	32%	
Never acceptable	(1.0)	268	12	22	11	84	184	27	51	72	36	39	43	78	108	83
	26%	30%	24%	23%	17%	34%	27%	26%	35%	23%	22%	20%	27%	30%	21%	
					a		bdef						c			
Don't know		54	-	6	1	23	31	5	8	11	9	10	11	13	20	21
	5%	-	6%	2%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	5%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		392	16	36	21	221	172	38	71	56	62	72	94	109	117	166
	37%	39%	38%	46%	44%	31%	38%	37%	28%	39%	40%	44%	37%	33%	42%	
					b					c	c	c			b	
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		601	24	52	24	256	345	56	115	136	86	99	109	171	222	208
	57%	61%	56%	52%	51%	63%	56%	59%	67%	55%	55%	51%	58%	62%	53%	
					a		def						c			
Base for stats		993	39	88	46	477	516	94	185	191	148	171	203	280	339	374
Mean Score	2.20	2.17	2.18	2.33	2.39	2.03	2.20	2.15	1.99	2.24	2.27	2.35	2.17	2.10	2.31	
					b					c	c	bc			ab	
Standard Deviation	.925	.945	.852	.963	.891	.924	.947	.885	.952	.904	.908	.928	.905	.938	.919	
Standard Error	.029	.149	.089	.139	.042	.040	.100	.065	.067	.079	.069	.064	.055	.051	.047	
Error variance	*	.02	.01	.02	*	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_2. Killing wolves to control their population size: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		Total	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0)	76	62	11	8	2	1	-	-
		7%	7%	6%	7%	14%	7%	-	-
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	316	267	42	27	3	6	4	3
		30%	31%	24%	24%	22%	35%	21%	22%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	332	259	66	49	3	3	8	3
		32%	30%	38%	44%	27%	19%	42%	22%
Never acceptable	(1.0)	268	216	48	25	3	5	7	8
		26%	25%	28%	22%	28%	32%	37%	50%
Don't know		54	48	5	2	1	1	-	1
		5%	6%	3%	2%	9%	6%	-	6%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		392	330	53	35	5	7	4	3
		37%	39%	31%	31%	36%	43%	21%	22%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		601	474	115	73	7	8	15	11
		57%	56%	66%	67%	55%	51%	79%	72%
Base for stats		993	804	168	108	11	15	19	15
Mean Score		2.20	2.22	2.10	2.17	2.25	2.19	1.83	1.71
Standard Deviation		.925	.929	.892	.866	1.108	1.032	.764	.855
Standard Error		.029	.033	.069	.083	.320	.266	.175	.228
Error variance		*	*	*	.01	.10	.07	.03	.05

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_3. Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable (4.0)	67 6%	5 6%	3 6%	5 9%	4 14%	4 6%	7 8%	8 6%	1 4%	6 10%	2 6%	5 8%	4 3%	1 3%
Sometimes acceptable (3.0)	336 32%	22 28%	24 42%	13 22%	10 35%	18 27%	27 30%	47 33%	9 33%	19 33%	6 18%	22 35%	37 30%	18 53%
Rarely acceptable (2.0)	370 35%	31 39%	18 31%	23 39%	9 31%	26 38%	27 30%	54 37%	8 29%	15 26%	15 45%	21 34%	55 44%	9 27%
Never acceptable (1.0)	223 21%	17 22%	8 14%	12 20%	4 14%	14 20%	23 25%	32 22%	8 29%	14 24%	9 28%	12 20%	24 19%	6 17%
Don't know	52 5%	4 5%	5 8%	6 10%	2 7%	7 10%	7 7%	3 2%	1 4%	5 8%	1 3%	2 3%	5 4%	- -
NET: At least sometimes acceptable	402 38%	27 34%	27 47%	18 31%	14 49%	22 33%	34 37%	56 39%	10 37%	25 43%	8 24%	27 43%	41 33%	19 56%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable	593 57%	48 61%	25 45%	35 59%	12 44%	40 58%	50 55%	86 59%	16 58%	29 50%	24 73%	33 54%	79 63%	15 44%
Base for stats	995	75	52	53	26	62	84	141	26	53	32	60	121	35
Mean Score	2.25	2.20	2.42	2.22	2.53	2.21	2.21	2.23	2.13	2.31	2.02	2.33	2.17	2.42
Standard Deviation	.877	.874	.825	.913	.935	.866	.941	.865	.918	.984	.871	.898	.784	.808
Standard Error	.028	.099	.113	.124	.180	.114	.108	.072	.187	.145	.152	.114	.070	.135
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.02	.03	.01	.01	.01	.04	.02	.02	.01	*	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_3. Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age			
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c	
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408	
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Always acceptable	(4.0)	67	3	6	3	41	26	9	13	9	10	12	13	22	19	26
	6%	7%	6%	6%	8%	5%	9%	7%	5%	6%	7%	6%	7%	5%	7%	
					b											
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	336	13	35	15	179	157	30	63	50	60	63	69	94	110	132
	32%	34%	37%	32%	36%	29%	30%	33%	25%	38%	35%	32%	32%	31%	33%	
					b					c	c					
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	370	12	31	16	175	195	32	70	79	49	61	79	102	128	140
	35%	32%	33%	35%	35%	36%	32%	36%	39%	31%	34%	37%	35%	36%	35%	
Never acceptable	(1.0)	223	9	18	13	87	135	26	39	54	28	35	41	65	81	77
	21%	23%	19%	28%	17%	25%	26%	20%	27%	18%	20%	19%	22%	23%	19%	
					a											
Don't know		52	2	5	-	18	34	3	8	10	10	10	11	11	20	21
	5%	5%	5%	-	4%	6%	3%	4%	5%	6%	5%	5%	4%	6%	5%	
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		402	16	40	18	220	183	39	76	60	70	75	83	115	129	157
	38%	41%	43%	37%	44%	33%	39%	39%	29%	44%	41%	39%	39%	36%	40%	
					b				c		c	c				
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		593	22	49	29	262	330	57	109	133	77	96	120	166	210	216
	57%	55%	52%	63%	52%	60%	57%	56%	66%	49%	53%	56%	57%	58%	55%	
					a				def							
Base for stats		995	38	89	47	482	513	96	185	192	147	171	203	282	339	374
Mean Score	2.25	2.26	2.32	2.16	2.36	2.14	2.23	2.27	2.08	2.35	2.30	2.27	2.26	2.20	2.28	
					b				c		c	c				
Standard Deviation	.877	.920	.866	.905	.875	.866	.950	.873	.855	.864	.880	.860	.899	.868	.868	
Standard Error	.028	.149	.090	.129	.041	.037	.100	.064	.060	.076	.067	.059	.054	.048	.044	
Error variance	*	.02	.01	.02	*	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_3. Killing wolves that have attacked farm animals: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Always acceptable	(4.0)	67	56	7	5	-	-	-	2
	6%	7%	4%	5%	-	-	-	-	15%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	336	276	53	35	6	3	5	3
	32%	32%	30%	32%	46%	19%	26%	21%	
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	370	298	65	40	3	9	9	4
	35%	35%	38%	37%	23%	56%	48%	26%	
Never acceptable	(1.0)	223	180	40	24	3	2	5	6
	21%	21%	23%	22%	21%	13%	26%	39%	
Don't know		52	42	8	5	1	2	-	-
	5%	5%	5%	5%	9%	12%	-	-	
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		402	332	60	41	6	3	5	6
	38%	39%	35%	37%	46%	19%	26%	36%	
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		593	478	105	64	6	11	14	10
	57%	56%	61%	58%	45%	69%	74%	64%	
Base for stats		995	810	165	105	11	14	19	16
Mean Score		2.25	2.26	2.17	2.20	2.28	2.07	2.00	2.12
Standard Deviation		.877	.880	.846	.852	.854	.624	.743	1.120
Standard Error		.028	.031	.066	.083	.247	.167	.170	.289
Error variance		*	*	*	.01	.06	.03	.03	.08

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_4. Killing wolves for their fur: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable (4.0)	16 2%	2 3%	2 4%	1 2%	1 4%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	- -	- -	- -	1 2%	2 2%	- -
Sometimes acceptable (3.0)	31 3%	1 1%	1 2%	1 2%	2 7%	2 3%	6 7%	6 4%	- -	1 2%	- -	3 5%	4 3%	2 6%
Rarely acceptable (2.0)	100 10%	5 6%	2 3%	4 7%	4 14%	4 6%	11 13%	16 11%	2 9%	5 8%	6 18%	3 5%	10 8%	5 14%
Never acceptable (1.0)	865 83%	67 85%	50 88%	48 81%	20 72%	58 84%	68 75%	117 82%	24 87%	51 88%	25 76%	53 86%	108 86%	28 80%
Don't know	35 3%	4 5%	2 3%	5 8%	1 3%	3 5%	5 5%	3 2%	1 4%	1 2%	2 5%	2 3%	2 1%	- -
NET: At least sometimes acceptable	47 5%	3 4%	3 6%	2 4%	3 11%	3 5%	7 8%	8 5%	- -	1 2%	- -	4 6%	6 5%	2 6%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable	965 92%	72 91%	52 91%	52 88%	24 86%	62 91%	79 87%	133 93%	26 96%	55 96%	31 95%	56 91%	118 94%	33 94%
Base for stats	1012	76	55	54	27	65	86	141	26	57	31	60	124	35
Mean Score	1.21	1.18	1.19	1.17	1.41	1.18	1.31	1.24	1.09	1.13	1.19	1.20	1.19	1.26
Standard Deviation	.569	.599	.662	.546	.804	.556	.659	.591	.291	.392	.402	.604	.556	.571
Standard Error	.018	.068	.088	.074	.152	.071	.075	.049	.059	.056	.071	.077	.049	.095
Error variance	*	*	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	*	*	.01	.01	*	.01

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_4. Killing wolves for their fur: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age			
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c	
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408	
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Always acceptable	(4.0)	16	2	-	1	11	6	4	4	4	1	3	-	9	5	3
	2%	5%	-	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	-	3%	1%	1%	
		b					f	f	f	f	f		c			
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	31	1	1	-	19	12	7	5	4	6	4	6	12	9	10
	3%	2%	1%	-	4%	2%	7%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	
							c									
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	100	7	11	5	60	40	19	23	16	11	15	16	42	27	31
	10%	18%	12%	10%	12%	7%	19%	12%	8%	7%	8%	8%	14%	8%	8%	
					b		cdef						bc			
Never acceptable	(1.0)	865	29	77	41	397	468	66	156	172	132	151	187	222	304	338
	83%	75%	82%	88%	79%	86%	66%	81%	85%	84%	84%	87%	76%	85%	86%	
					a		a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	
Don't know		35	-	5	-	14	21	4	5	7	7	7	5	9	14	12
	3%	-	5%	-	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	4%	3%	
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		47	3	1	1	29	18	11	9	7	7	7	6	20	14	13
	5%	7%	1%	2%	6%	3%	11%	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%	7%	4%	3%	
					b		bcdef						c			
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		965	37	88	46	456	508	84	179	188	143	166	204	264	332	369
	92%	93%	94%	98%	91%	93%	84%	93%	93%	91%	92%	95%	90%	92%	94%	
					a		a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	
Base for stats	1012	39	89	47	486	526	95	188	196	150	173	209	284	346	382	
Mean Score	1.21	1.37	1.15	1.17	1.27	1.16	1.48	1.24	1.17	1.18	1.19	1.13	1.32	1.17	1.16	
		b			b		bcdef	f					bc			
Standard Deviation	.569	.759	.391	.524	.638	.493	.823	.606	.543	.519	.555	.415	.694	.532	.483	
Standard Error	.018	.120	.041	.075	.030	.021	.087	.044	.038	.045	.042	.028	.042	.029	.024	
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_4. Killing wolves for their fur: To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	173	110	13	16	19	15	
Total		1047	173	110	12	16	19	16	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Always acceptable	(4.0)	16	3	1	-	1	1	-	
		2%	2%	1%	-	7%	5%	-	
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	31	8	1	1	-	-	6	
		3%	4%	1%	9%	-	-	36%	
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	100	13	12	1	-	-	-	
		10%	7%	11%	9%	-	-	-	
Never acceptable	(1.0)	865	147	95	8	15	18	10	
		83%	85%	87%	66%	93%	95%	64%	
Don't know		35	3	1	2	-	-	-	
		3%	2%	1%	17%	-	-	-	
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		47	11	2	1	1	1	6	
		5%	6%	2%	9%	7%	5%	36%	
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		965	160	107	9	15	18	10	
		92%	92%	97%	75%	93%	95%	64%	
Base for stats		1012	170	109	10	16	19	16	
Mean Score		1.21	1.22	1.15	1.31	1.21	1.16	1.71	
Standard Deviation		.569	.613	.453	.684	.797	.692	.991	
Standard Error		.018	.047	.043	.206	.199	.159	.256	
Error variance		*	*	*	.04	.04	.03	.07	

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_5. Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Always acceptable	(4.0) 50 5%	3 4%	2 3%	4 7%	3 10%	3 4%	5 5%	8 5%	1 4%	3 6%	1 3%	1 2%	5 4%	1 3%
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0) 266 25%	21 26%	13 23%	14 24%	8 28%	11 15%	23 25%	39 27%	6 22%	13 22%	9 27%	22 35%	28 22%	7 20%
Rarely acceptable	(2.0) 352 34%	28 35%	20 35%	16 27%	6 23%	28 40%	29 32%	48 34%	9 33%	25 44%	12 35%	13 20%	51 40%	13 36%
Never acceptable	(1.0) 278 27%	22 28%	16 29%	19 32%	10 35%	19 27%	22 25%	38 27%	7 25%	9 16%	9 26%	21 34%	30 24%	10 30%
Don't know	100 10%	6 7%	6 10%	6 10%	1 3%	9 13%	12 13%	10 7%	5 17%	7 12%	3 8%	5 9%	12 10%	4 11%
NET: At least sometimes acceptable	316 30%	24 30%	15 27%	18 31%	11 38%	14 20%	28 30%	47 33%	7 26%	16 28%	10 30%	23 37%	33 26%	8 22%
NET: Rarely/never acceptable	631 60%	50 63%	36 63%	35 59%	16 58%	46 68%	51 56%	87 60%	16 58%	34 60%	20 62%	34 54%	81 64%	23 66%
Base for stats	947	73	51	53	27	60	79	134	23	51	30	57	113	31
Mean Score	2.09	2.07	2.02	2.07	2.14	1.97	2.12	2.12	2.06	2.21	2.07	2.05	2.06	1.94
Standard Deviation	.882	.869	.864	.974	1.051	.839	.897	.896	.887	.822	.856	.918	.824	.838
Standard Error	.029	.100	.120	.133	.199	.112	.106	.077	.194	.124	.154	.121	.076	.148
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.02	.04	.01	.01	.01	.04	.02	.02	.01	.01	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_5. Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age			
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c	
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408	
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Always acceptable	(4.0)	50	1	5	4	31	19	5	11	11	6	9	8	16	16	17
	5%	2%	6%	8%	6%	4%	5%	6%	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	5%	4%	
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	266	14	27	12	162	104	33	45	34	47	46	62	78	81	108
	25%	36%	29%	25%	32%	19%	33%	23%	17%	30%	25%	29%	27%	23%	27%	
					b		c		c		c		c			
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	352	7	33	16	169	183	27	65	68	60	64	69	92	127	133
	34%	17%	35%	35%	34%	33%	27%	33%	34%	38%	35%	32%	31%	35%	34%	
			a													
Never acceptable	(1.0)	278	14	20	12	98	181	26	49	77	33	43	51	74	110	94
	27%	35%	22%	26%	20%	33%	26%	25%	38%	21%	24%	24%	25%	31%	24%	
					a		abdef						c			
Don't know		100	4	8	3	40	60	9	23	12	12	19	24	32	25	43
	10%	10%	8%	6%	8%	11%	9%	12%	6%	8%	10%	11%	11%	7%	11%	
							c				c					
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		316	15	33	16	193	123	38	56	45	52	55	70	94	97	125
	30%	38%	35%	34%	39%	23%	38%	29%	22%	33%	30%	33%	32%	27%	32%	
					b		c				c		c			
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		631	20	54	28	267	364	53	114	145	93	107	120	166	238	227
	60%	51%	57%	61%	53%	67%	53%	59%	72%	59%	59%	56%	57%	66%	57%	
					a		abdef						ac			
Base for stats		947	35	86	44	460	487	91	170	190	145	162	190	261	335	352
Mean Score		2.09	2.07	2.20	2.17	2.27	1.92	2.19	2.11	1.89	2.17	2.13	2.14	2.14	2.01	2.14
					b		c		c		c		c			
Standard Deviation		.882	.954	.873	.940	.870	.859	.920	.900	.896	.823	.876	.861	.906	.876	.867
Standard Error		.029	.159	.093	.139	.042	.038	.099	.069	.063	.072	.068	.061	.056	.048	.046
Error variance		*	.03	.01	.02	*	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q4_5. Killing wolves to decrease the pressure on populations of other animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you believe each of the following are acceptable or not?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	173	110	13	16	19	15	
Total		1047	173	110	12	16	19	16	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Always acceptable	(4.0)	50	7	5	-	2	-	-	
		5%	4%	5%	-	13%	-	-	
Sometimes acceptable	(3.0)	266	44	25	6	5	3	5	
		25%	25%	23%	47%	29%	17%	30%	
Rarely acceptable	(2.0)	352	62	45	2	5	8	2	
		34%	36%	41%	16%	32%	42%	13%	
Never acceptable	(1.0)	278	52	28	5	3	8	9	
		27%	30%	25%	37%	19%	41%	57%	
Don't know		100	8	7	-	1	-	-	
		10%	5%	7%	-	6%	-	-	
			b						
NET: At least sometimes acceptable		316	51	30	6	7	3	5	
		30%	29%	27%	47%	43%	17%	30%	
NET: Rarely/never acceptable		631	114	73	7	8	16	11	
		60%	66%	66%	53%	51%	83%	70%	
Base for stats		947	165	103	12	15	19	16	
Mean Score		2.09	2.03	2.07	2.10	2.40	1.76	1.73	
Standard Deviation		.882	.871	.844	.949	.997	.741	.922	
Standard Error		.029	.068	.083	.263	.257	.170	.238	
Error variance		*	*	.01	.07	.07	.03	.06	

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5 Summary: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Strongly agree	Tend to agree	Tend to disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know	NET: Agree	NET: Disagree
Unweighted Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	1047 100%	459 44%	444 42%	58 6%	18 2%	68 7%	903 86%	76 7%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	1047 100%	368 35%	473 45%	93 9%	27 3%	85 8%	841 80%	120 11%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	1047 100%	367 35%	444 42%	107 10%	32 3%	97 9%	811 77%	139 13%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	1047 100%	327 31%	427 41%	110 11%	35 3%	147 14%	754 72%	146 14%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	1047 100%	213 20%	367 35%	251 24%	91 9%	125 12%	580 55%	342 33%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	903 86%	64 81%	49 86%	52 88%	23 82%	61 89%	75 83%	125 87%	26 96%	48 84%	26 80%	52 83%	116 92%	32 91%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	841 80%	66 84%	43 76%	49 83%	24 86%	58 84%	69 76%	125 87%	20 71%	44 76%	28 86%	49 79%	103 82%	25 72%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	811 77%	59 75%	39 69%	48 82%	22 79%	56 81%	69 76%	114 79%	16 58%	42 72%	24 74%	51 83%	101 80%	28 80%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	754 72%	54 68%	35 62%	47 80%	20 73%	51 74%	62 68%	106 74%	21 75%	35 60%	26 80%	45 73%	92 74%	27 77%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	580 55%	40 51%	32 57%	35 59%	12 45%	41 59%	44 48%	75 52%	16 59%	35 60%	20 62%	30 48%	69 55%	25 71%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	903	30	83	40	446	457	72	154	181	139	158	197	226	321	356
	86%	77%	88%	86%	89%	84%	73%	80%	90%	89%	88%	92%	77%	89%	90%
					b				ab	ab	ab	ab		a	a
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	841	33	73	33	429	413	71	145	167	130	143	185	216	297	328
	80%	83%	78%	71%	86%	75%	71%	75%	83%	83%	79%	87%	74%	83%	83%
					b				a	a		abe		a	a
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	811	29	78	34	417	394	67	132	157	120	147	187	199	278	334
	77%	75%	83%	72%	83%	72%	67%	68%	78%	77%	82%	87%	68%	77%	85%
					b				ab		ab	abcd		a	ab
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	754	29	68	34	385	370	67	134	148	117	131	158	201	265	289
	72%	74%	73%	73%	77%	68%	67%	69%	73%	74%	73%	74%	69%	74%	73%
					b										
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	580	22	57	26	290	290	48	109	125	90	98	110	158	214	208
	55%	57%	61%	56%	58%	53%	49%	56%	62%	57%	54%	51%	54%	60%	53%
									af						

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5 Nets summary (Agree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Diet							
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	903 86%	730 86%	154 89%	100 90%	9 69%	16 100%	18 95%	11 72%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	841 80%	679 80%	144 83%	94 85%	8 60%	14 87%	16 84%	12 79%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	811 77%	654 77%	141 81%	87 79%	10 76%	14 87%	18 95%	12 79%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	754 72%	618 73%	121 69%	76 69%	7 53%	10 64%	15 77%	12 79%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	580 55%	459 54%	108 62%	64 58%	5 37%	11 70%	16 84%	12 79%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	76 7%	9 11%	2 4%	2 3%	2 7%	1 2%	12 14%	12 8%	1 4%	5 8%	4 12%	6 9%	4 3%	3 9%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	120 11%	6 8%	8 14%	6 11%	3 11%	1 1%	17 19%	13 9%	6 21%	8 14%	3 8%	11 18%	11 9%	3 9%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	342 33%	27 34%	16 28%	17 29%	12 45%	16 23%	33 37%	59 41%	10 37%	18 32%	11 33%	27 43%	40 32%	7 20%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	146 14%	16 20%	9 16%	4 7%	4 13%	7 11%	18 20%	24 16%	3 12%	11 20%	4 11%	6 10%	14 11%	2 6%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	139 13%	11 14%	9 15%	6 11%	3 10%	4 6%	14 15%	24 17%	7 25%	8 14%	6 18%	8 13%	13 10%	5 14%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	76 7%	5 13%	4 4%	5 11%	34 7%	42 8%	18 18% cdef	25 13% cdef	14 7%	7 4%	6 3%	7 3%	43 15% bc	20 6%	13 3%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	120 11%	3 7%	10 10%	11 23% b	50 10%	70 13%	20 20% cdf	29 15% f	19 9%	16 10%	22 12%	15 7%	49 17% bc	35 10%	37 9%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	342 33%	10 26%	24 26%	13 28%	171 34%	171 31%	44 45% cd	70 36% c	54 26%	42 27%	61 34%	72 34%	114 39% b	96 27%	133 34% b
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	146 14%	4 11%	11 12%	8 16%	60 12%	86 16%	22 22% cef	38 20% ef	25 13%	20 13%	19 10%	22 10%	60 20% bc	46 13%	40 10%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	139 13%	7 18% b	5 5%	10 21% b	57 11%	83 15%	24 24% cdef	44 23% cdef	22 11%	20 13%	14 8%	16 7%	68 23% bc	42 12% c	29 7%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5 Nets summary (Disagree): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Diet							
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live	76 7%	63 7%	11 7%	5 5%	3 23%	- -	- -	3 21%
Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	120 11%	100 12%	19 11%	10 9%	3 23%	- -	3 16%	3 21%
Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas	342 33%	285 33%	51 29%	35 32%	7 56%	3 18%	3 16%	2 14%
Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares)	146 14%	115 13%	29 17%	17 16%	4 32%	2 12%	3 16%	2 14%
Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old	139 13%	116 14%	19 11%	14 12%	3 24%	- -	1 5%	1 7%

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_1. Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	459 44%	37 46%	22 38%	29 49%	12 41%	36 53%	37 40%	66 46%	13 46%	22 38%	15 45%	24 38%	54 43%	17 49%
Tend to agree (3.0)	444 42%	27 34%	27 48%	23 39%	11 41%	25 36%	39 42%	58 40%	14 50%	26 46%	11 35%	28 45%	62 49%	15 42%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	58 6%	8 10%	1 2%	1 2%	1 4%	1 2%	9 10%	8 5%	1 4%	3 6%	4 12%	4 6%	4 3%	3 9%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	18 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 2%	1 3%	- -	4 4%	4 3%	- -	1 2%	- -	2 3%	- -	- -
Don't know	68 7%	7 9%	6 10%	5 9%	3 10%	6 9%	3 4%	8 5%	- -	5 8%	3 8%	5 8%	6 4%	- -
NET: Agree	903 86%	64 81%	49 86%	52 88%	23 82%	61 89%	75 83%	125 87%	26 96%	48 84%	26 80%	52 83%	116 92%	32 91%
NET: Disagree	76 7%	9 11%	2 4%	2 3%	2 7%	1 2%	12 14%	12 8%	1 4%	5 8%	4 12%	6 9%	4 3%	3 9%
Base for stats	979	73	51	54	25	62	88	136	28	53	30	57	120	35
Mean Score	3.37	3.37	3.37	3.47	3.34	3.57	3.24	3.37	3.42	3.30	3.37	3.28	3.42	3.40
Standard Deviation	.680	.727	.632	.643	.748	.535	.794	.724	.583	.698	.708	.736	.558	.654
Standard Error	.022	.084	.088	.087	.147	.070	.089	.061	.117	.103	.127	.096	.050	.109
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.02	*	.01	*	.01	.01	.02	.01	*	.01

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_1. Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age			
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c	
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408	
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree	(4.0)	459	19	40	17	233	225	36	70	88	70	82	112	106	158	195
		44%	47%	43%	37%	47%	41%	36%	36%	43%	45%	46%	52%	36%	44%	49%
														a	a	
Tend to agree	(3.0)	444	12	43	23	213	232	36	84	93	69	76	85	120	162	161
		42%	30%	46%	48%	43%	42%	36%	44%	46%	44%	42%	40%	41%	45%	41%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	58	3	3	5	24	35	11	20	12	6	4	6	31	17	10
		6%	8%	3%	11%	5%	6%	11%	10%	6%	4%	2%	3%	11%	5%	3%
								def	def					bc		
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	18	2	1	-	10	8	7	5	2	1	2	1	12	3	3
		2%	6%	1%	-	2%	1%	7%	3%	1%	1%	1%	*	4%	1%	1%
								cdef						bc		
Don't know		68	4	7	2	20	48	10	14	7	11	16	10	24	18	26
		7%	9%	8%	4%	4%	9%	10%	7%	4%	7%	9%	4%	8%	5%	7%
							a	c				c				
NET: Agree		903	30	83	40	446	457	72	154	181	139	158	197	226	321	356
		86%	77%	88%	86%	89%	84%	73%	80%	90%	89%	88%	92%	77%	89%	90%
						b				ab	ab	ab	ab	a	a	
NET: Disagree		76	5	4	5	34	42	18	25	14	7	6	7	43	20	13
		7%	13%	4%	11%	7%	8%	18%	13%	7%	4%	3%	3%	15%	6%	3%
								cdef	cdef					bc		
Base for stats		979	36	87	45	480	499	90	179	195	146	164	205	269	341	369
Mean Score		3.37	3.31	3.40	3.28	3.39	3.35	3.13	3.22	3.37	3.43	3.45	3.51	3.19	3.40	3.48
										ab	ab	ab	abc		a	a
Standard Deviation		.680	.888	.616	.655	.682	.678	.902	.756	.641	.610	.609	.582	.807	.628	.594
Standard Error		.022	.148	.065	.096	.032	.030	.098	.056	.045	.053	.047	.040	.050	.034	.030
Error variance		*	.02	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_1. Wolves are beneficial to the ecosystem in which they live: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Diet						
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	459 44%	362 42%	88 51% a	57 51%	5 37%	9 58%	14 73%	4 25%
Tend to agree (3.0)	444 42%	368 43%	66 38%	43 39%	4 32%	7 42%	4 22%	7 47%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	58 6%	50 6%	7 4%	2 2%	2 14%	- -	- -	3 21%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	18 2%	13 2%	4 2%	3 3%	1 9%	- -	- -	- -
Don't know	68 7%	59 7%	8 5%	5 5%	1 7%	- -	1 5%	1 7%
NET: Agree	903 86%	730 86%	154 89%	100 90%	9 69%	16 100%	18 95%	11 72%
NET: Disagree	76 7%	63 7%	11 7%	5 5%	3 23%	- -	- -	3 21%
Base for stats	979	793	165	105	12	16	18	14
Mean Score	3.37	3.36	3.44	3.46	3.05	3.58	3.76	3.05
Standard Deviation	.680	.676	.701	.690	1.010	.510	.437	.725
Standard Error	.022	.024	.055	.067	.291	.128	.103	.194
Error variance	*	*	*	*	.08	.02	.01	.04

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_2. Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	368 35%	26 33%	19 33%	25 42%	12 41%	27 39%	23 26%	59 41%	5 17%	21 36%	14 43%	19 30%	42 33%	10 30%
Tend to agree (3.0)	473 45%	40 51%	25 44%	24 41%	12 45%	31 45%	45 50%	65 45%	15 54%	23 40%	14 43%	30 49%	61 49%	15 42%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	93 9%	5 6%	7 12%	4 7%	3 11%	1 1%	13 15%	9 6%	6 21%	6 10%	2 6%	8 12%	9 7%	2 6%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	27 3%	2 2%	1 2%	2 3%	- -	- -	4 4%	5 3%	- -	2 4%	1 3%	4 6%	2 1%	1 3%
Don't know	85 8%	7 8%	6 10%	4 7%	1 3%	10 14%	5 6%	6 4%	2 8%	6 10%	2 5%	2 3%	12 10%	7 19%
NET: Agree	841 80%	66 84%	43 76%	49 83%	24 86%	58 84%	69 76%	125 87%	20 71%	44 76%	28 86%	49 79%	103 82%	25 72%
NET: Disagree	120 11%	6 8%	8 14%	6 11%	3 11%	1 1%	17 19%	13 9%	6 21%	8 14%	3 8%	11 18%	11 9%	3 9%
Base for stats	962	73	51	55	27	59	86	138	25	52	31	60	113	28
Mean Score	3.23	3.24	3.19	3.30	3.32	3.44	3.04	3.30	2.96	3.20	3.34	3.06	3.26	3.22
Standard Deviation	.735	.681	.744	.771	.675	.535	.775	.735	.651	.815	.733	.835	.669	.739
Standard Error	.024	.079	.103	.103	.128	.072	.088	.062	.136	.121	.130	.106	.062	.137
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.02	.01	.02	.01	*	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_2. Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age			
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c	
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408	
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree	(4.0)	368	18	36	13	192	176	32	48	72	62	69	86	80	133	155
		35%	46%	38%	29%	38%	32%	32%	25%	35%	39%	38%	40%	27%	37%	39%
						b				b	b	b		a	a	
Tend to agree	(3.0)	473	15	37	20	236	237	39	97	95	69	74	99	136	164	173
		45%	37%	40%	43%	47%	43%	39%	50%	47%	44%	41%	46%	46%	46%	44%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	93	3	7	9	41	51	16	20	15	15	18	10	36	29	27
		9%	7%	7%	19%	8%	9%	17%	10%	7%	9%	10%	4%	12%	8%	7%
					b			cf	f			f		c		
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	27	-	3	2	9	19	3	9	4	1	4	5	12	5	9
		3%	-	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	5%	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%
						d			d					b		
Don't know		85	4	11	3	21	64	9	19	16	11	16	14	28	27	30
		8%	10%	12%	6%	4%	12%	9%	10%	8%	7%	9%	7%	10%	8%	8%
						a										
NET: Agree		841	33	73	33	429	413	71	145	167	130	143	185	216	297	328
		80%	83%	78%	71%	86%	75%	71%	75%	83%	83%	79%	87%	74%	83%	83%
						b				a	a	abe		a	a	
NET: Disagree		120	3	10	11	50	70	20	29	19	16	22	15	49	35	37
		11%	7%	10%	23%	10%	13%	20%	15%	9%	10%	12%	7%	17%	10%	9%
					b			cdf	f					bc		
Base for stats		962	36	83	44	479	483	91	174	186	146	165	200	265	332	365
Mean Score		3.23	3.42	3.28	3.02	3.28	3.18	3.10	3.06	3.26	3.31	3.26	3.33	3.07	3.28	3.30
			c			b				b	ab	b	ab		a	a
Standard Deviation		.735	.647	.760	.827	.696	.770	.828	.773	.704	.677	.747	.690	.791	.692	.717
Standard Error		.024	.108	.082	.122	.033	.034	.089	.059	.050	.059	.058	.048	.049	.038	.037
Error variance		*	.01	.01	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_2. Wolves help to control populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Diet						
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	368 35%	286 34%	73 42% a	47 42%	4 30%	6 39%	10 50%	7 46%
Tend to agree (3.0)	473 45%	393 46%	70 40%	47 43%	4 30%	8 48%	6 34%	5 33%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	93 9%	75 9%	16 9%	10 9%	1 7%	- -	3 16%	2 15%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	27 3%	24 3%	3 2%	- -	2 16%	- -	- -	1 6%
Don't know	85 8%	73 9%	10 6%	6 6%	2 17%	2 13%	- -	- -
NET: Agree	841 80%	679 80%	144 83%	94 85%	8 60%	14 87%	16 84%	12 79%
NET: Disagree	120 11%	100 12%	19 11%	10 9%	3 23%	- -	3 16%	3 21%
Base for stats	962	778	163	104	10	14	19	16
Mean Score	3.23	3.21	3.31	3.35	2.89	3.45	3.35	3.19
Standard Deviation	.735	.739	.729	.655	1.153	.516	.757	.943
Standard Error	.024	.026	.057	.064	.348	.138	.174	.244
Error variance	*	*	*	*	.12	.02	.03	.06

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_3. Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	213 20%	13 17%	10 18%	17 29%	4 14%	14 20%	17 18%	32 23%	5 17%	8 14%	12 36%	13 21%	28 22%	7 19%
Tend to agree (3.0)	367 35%	27 34%	22 39%	18 30%	9 31%	27 39%	27 30%	43 30%	12 42%	26 46%	9 26%	17 27%	41 33%	18 52%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	251 24%	22 28%	12 21%	14 24%	8 30%	10 14%	27 29%	37 25%	9 33%	13 22%	7 21%	18 29%	34 27%	5 15%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	91 9%	5 6%	4 6%	3 5%	4 14%	6 9%	7 8%	22 16%	1 5%	6 10%	4 11%	8 14%	6 5%	2 5%
Don't know	125 12%	12 15%	9 15%	7 12%	3 11%	12 18%	14 15%	9 6%	1 4%	5 8%	2 5%	6 9%	16 13%	3 8%
NET: Agree	580 55%	40 51%	32 57%	35 59%	12 45%	41 59%	44 48%	75 52%	16 59%	35 60%	20 62%	30 48%	69 55%	25 71%
NET: Disagree	342 33%	27 34%	16 28%	17 29%	12 45%	16 23%	33 37%	59 41%	10 37%	18 32%	11 33%	27 43%	40 32%	7 20%
Base for stats	922	67	48	52	25	57	77	135	26	53	31	57	110	32
Mean Score	2.76	2.73	2.81	2.95	2.50	2.86	2.70	2.63	2.74	2.69	2.91	2.61	2.83	2.93
Standard Deviation	.918	.862	.860	.922	.959	.917	.913	1.027	.815	.866	1.053	1.010	.880	.786
Standard Error	.030	.104	.123	.127	.188	.126	.110	.087	.166	.128	.186	.133	.082	.137
Error variance	*	.01	.02	.02	.04	.02	.01	.01	.03	.02	.03	.02	.01	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_3. Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	213 20%	9 23%	12 13%	13 27% b	108 22%	106 19%	18 18%	37 19%	53 26% f	31 20%	35 19%	39 18%	56 19%	84 23%	73 19%
Tend to agree (3.0)	367 35%	13 34%	45 48% c	13 29%	182 36%	185 34%	30 30%	72 37%	71 35%	59 37%	63 35%	71 33%	102 35%	130 36%	135 34%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	251 24%	9 23%	18 20%	8 16%	135 27% b	116 21%	31 31% c	44 23%	39 19%	34 22%	46 25%	57 27%	75 26%	73 20%	103 26%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	91 9%	1 3%	6 6%	6 12%	36 7%	55 10%	13 13% d	25 13% df	15 7%	8 5%	15 8%	15 7%	39 13% bc	23 6%	30 8%
Don't know	125 12%	7 17%	13 13%	7 16%	39 8%	85 16% a	7 7%	15 8%	24 12%	26 16% ab	22 12%	32 15% ab	21 7%	50 14% a	54 14% a
NET: Agree	580 55%	22 57%	57 61%	26 56%	290 58%	290 53%	48 49%	109 56%	125 62% af	90 57%	98 54%	110 51%	158 54%	214 60%	208 53%
NET: Disagree	342 33%	10 26%	24 26%	13 28%	171 34%	171 31%	44 45% cd	70 36% c	54 26%	42 27%	61 34%	72 34%	114 39% b	96 27%	133 34% b
Base for stats	922	33	82	39	461	462	93	179	178	132	159	182	272	310	341
Mean Score	2.76	2.92	2.78	2.84	2.78	2.74	2.58	2.68	2.91 ab	2.86 a	2.74	2.74	2.64	2.89 ac	2.74
Standard Deviation	.918	.843	.793	1.046	.892	.943	.964	.962	.920	.848	.905	.887	.963	.889	.894
Standard Error	.030	.147	.087	.163	.043	.043	.103	.072	.067	.078	.072	.064	.059	.051	.048
Error variance	*	.02	.01	.03	*	*	.01	.01	*	.01	.01	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_3. Wolves in the wild are an opportunity for tourism in rural and natural areas: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		Total	a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	213 20%	167 20%	42 24%	26 24%	2 14%	3 20%	9 46%	2 14%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	367 35%	292 34%	66 38%	37 34%	3 23%	8 50%	7 38%	10 65%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	251 24%	205 24%	40 23%	28 26%	6 49%	2 12%	3 16%	1 7%
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	91 9%	80 9%	10 6%	7 7%	1 7%	1 6%	- -	1 7%
Don't know		125 12%	108 13%	15 9%	11 10%	1 7%	2 12%	- -	1 7%
NET: Agree		580 55%	459 54%	108 62% a	64 58%	5 37%	11 70%	16 84%	12 79%
NET: Disagree		342 33%	285 33%	51 29%	35 32%	7 56%	3 18%	3 16%	2 14%
Base for stats		922	744	159	99	12	14	19	14
Mean Score		2.76	2.73	2.88	2.84	2.47	2.95	3.31	2.92
Standard Deviation		.918	.927	.878	.907	.880	.834	.745	.755
Standard Error		.030	.034	.070	.091	.254	.223	.171	.202
Error variance		*	*	*	.01	.06	.05	.03	.04

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_4. Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	327 31%	21 26%	14 25%	21 36%	12 42%	24 34%	22 24%	51 36%	8 29%	15 26%	15 45%	19 30%	36 29%	12 36%
Tend to agree (3.0)	427 41%	33 42%	21 37%	26 45%	9 31%	27 40%	40 44%	55 38%	13 46%	20 34%	11 35%	27 43%	56 45%	14 41%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	110 11%	13 16%	8 14%	4 7%	4 13%	4 6%	9 10%	20 14%	3 12%	10 18%	3 9%	2 3%	12 9%	2 6%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	35 3%	3 4%	1 2%	- -	- -	3 5%	9 10%	4 3%	- -	1 2%	1 3%	4 7%	3 2%	- -
Don't know	147 14%	10 12%	12 22%	7 12%	4 14%	11 15%	11 12%	14 10%	3 12%	12 20%	3 8%	10 16%	19 15%	6 17%
NET: Agree	754 72%	54 68%	35 62%	47 80%	20 73%	51 74%	62 68%	106 74%	21 75%	35 60%	26 80%	45 73%	92 74%	27 77%
NET: Disagree	146 14%	16 20%	9 16%	4 7%	4 13%	7 11%	18 20%	24 16%	3 12%	11 20%	4 11%	6 10%	14 11%	2 6%
Base for stats	900	70	44	52	24	58	80	130	24	46	30	52	107	29
Mean Score	3.16	3.03	3.09	3.33	3.34	3.23	2.94	3.18	3.20	3.05	3.34	3.15	3.18	3.36
Standard Deviation	.786	.805	.772	.627	.742	.809	.922	.800	.674	.814	.785	.856	.724	.624
Standard Error	.026	.095	.115	.086	.148	.110	.109	.069	.144	.129	.141	.118	.069	.114
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.02	.02	.02	.01	*	.01

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_4. Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age		
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	327 31%	12 30%	30 32%	15 33%	163 33%	164 30%	28 28%	53 27%	62 31%	51 33%	60 33%	73 34%	81 28%	114 32%	133 34%
Tend to agree (3.0)	427 41%	18 45%	38 41%	19 40%	222 44%	206 38%	39 39%	81 42%	86 42%	66 42%	71 39%	84 39%	120 41%	151 42%	155 39%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	110 11%	2 5%	9 10%	6 12%	47 9%	63 12%	14 14%	31 16%	20 10%	18 11%	12 6%	16 8%	45 15%	38 10%	28 7%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	35 3%	2 6%	2 2%	2 4%	13 3%	22 4%	8 8%	7 4%	6 3%	2 2%	7 4%	5 3%	15 5%	8 2%	12 3%
Don't know	147 14%	6 15%	15 15%	5 11%	55 11%	92 17%	11 11%	21 11%	29 14%	20 13%	31 17%	35 16%	32 11%	49 14%	66 17%
NET: Agree	754 72%	29 74%	68 73%	34 73%	385 77%	370 68%	67 67%	134 69%	148 73%	117 74%	131 73%	158 74%	201 69%	265 74%	289 73%
NET: Disagree	146 14%	4 11%	11 12%	8 16%	60 12%	86 16%	22 22%	38 20%	25 13%	20 13%	19 10%	22 10%	60 20%	46 13%	40 10%
Base for stats	900	34	80	41	445	455	89	172	174	137	150	179	261	311	329
Mean Score	3.16	3.16	3.22	3.15	3.20	3.12	2.98	3.04	3.18	3.21	3.23	3.26	3.02	3.19	3.24
Standard Deviation	.786	.816	.742	.823	.743	.824	.906	.809	.760	.731	.787	.745	.842	.746	.763
Standard Error	.026	.140	.082	.125	.036	.038	.099	.062	.056	.066	.064	.054	.053	.043	.041
Error variance	*	.02	.01	.02	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_4. Wolves help to improve the genetic diversity of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares): To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Diet						
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexitarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g
Significance Level: 95%								
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total	1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	327 31%	255 30%	65 37%	43 39%	4 30%	5 34%	9 46%	4 27%
Tend to agree (3.0)	427 41%	363 43%	55 32%	34 30%	3 23%	5 30%	6 32%	8 52%
		bc						
Tend to disagree (2.0)	110 11%	89 10%	20 12%	13 12%	2 16%	1 6%	2 10%	2 14%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	35 3%	26 3%	8 5%	4 4%	2 16%	1 6%	1 6%	- -
Don't know	147 14%	119 14%	24 14%	16 15%	2 15%	4 24%	1 6%	1 7%
NET: Agree	754 72%	618 73%	121 69%	76 69%	7 53%	10 64%	15 77%	12 79%
NET: Disagree	146 14%	115 13%	29 17%	17 16%	4 32%	2 12%	3 16%	2 14%
Base for stats	900	732	149	94	11	12	18	14
Mean Score	3.16	3.16	3.19	3.22	2.78	3.20	3.25	3.14
Standard Deviation	.786	.765	.877	.859	1.173	.939	.916	.674
Standard Error	.026	.028	.072	.089	.354	.271	.216	.180
Error variance	*	*	.01	.01	.13	.07	.05	.03

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_5. Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions												
		Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree (4.0)	367 35%	26 33%	15 27%	26 44%	11 38%	25 37%	28 31%	55 38%	7 25%	21 36%	14 43%	18 28%	40 32%	11 33%
Tend to agree (3.0)	444 42%	33 42%	24 42%	22 38%	11 41%	31 45%	41 45%	59 41%	9 33%	21 36%	10 32%	34 54%	60 48%	17 48%
Tend to disagree (2.0)	107 10%	8 11%	7 12%	6 11%	2 7%	2 3%	10 11%	17 12%	6 21%	7 12%	6 18%	5 9%	11 9%	3 9%
Strongly disagree (1.0)	32 3%	3 4%	2 3%	- -	1 3%	2 3%	3 4%	7 5%	1 4%	1 2%	- -	3 5%	2 1%	2 5%
Don't know	97 9%	9 11%	9 15%	4 7%	3 11%	8 12%	9 9%	6 4%	5 17%	8 14%	3 8%	3 4%	12 10%	2 5%
NET: Agree	811 77%	59 75%	39 69%	48 82%	22 79%	56 81%	69 76%	114 79%	16 58%	42 72%	24 74%	51 83%	101 80%	28 80%
NET: Disagree	139 13%	11 14%	9 15%	6 11%	3 10%	4 6%	14 15%	24 17%	7 25%	8 14%	6 18%	8 13%	13 10%	5 14%
Base for stats	950	71	48	55	25	60	82	138	23	50	30	59	113	33
Mean Score	3.21	3.17	3.10	3.36	3.28	3.31	3.13	3.17	2.95	3.23	3.27	3.11	3.23	3.14
Standard Deviation	.770	.797	.793	.686	.773	.716	.786	.833	.884	.783	.776	.759	.683	.816
Standard Error	.025	.093	.113	.092	.152	.096	.091	.070	.193	.119	.139	.097	.063	.140
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.04	.01	.02	.01	*	.02

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_5. Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

	Total	Poland regions			Gender		Age						Age			
		Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	a	b	c	
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408	
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Strongly agree	(4.0)	367	16	38	16	199	167	29	43	68	58	74	94	72	126	168
	35%	40%	41%	33%	40%	31%	29%	22%	34%	37%	41%	44%	25%	35%	42%	
					b				b	b	b	abc		a	ab	
Tend to agree	(3.0)	444	14	40	18	217	227	38	89	90	62	74	93	126	151	167
	42%	34%	43%	39%	43%	42%	38%	46%	44%	39%	41%	43%	43%	42%	42%	
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	107	4	4	9	44	64	16	35	18	19	7	12	51	37	19
	10%	10%	4%	19%	9%	12%	16%	18%	9%	12%	4%	6%	17%	10%	5%	
				b			ef	cef	e	ef			bc	c		
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	32	3	1	1	13	19	8	9	4	1	7	4	17	5	10
	3%	8%	1%	2%	3%	3%	8%	5%	2%	1%	4%	2%	6%	1%	3%	
		b					cdf	d					bc			
Don't know		97	3	11	4	27	70	9	17	23	17	20	11	26	40	31
	9%	7%	11%	8%	5%	13%		9%	9%	11%	11%	11%	5%	9%	11%	8%
					a					f	f					
NET: Agree		811	29	78	34	417	394	67	132	157	120	147	187	199	278	334
	77%	75%	83%	72%	83%	72%	67%	68%	78%	77%	82%	87%	68%	77%	85%	
					b				ab		ab	abcd		a	ab	
NET: Disagree		139	7	5	10	57	83	24	44	22	20	14	16	68	42	29
	13%	18%	5%	21%	11%	15%	24%	23%	11%	13%	8%	7%	23%	12%	7%	
		b		b			cdef	cdef					bc	c		
Base for stats		950	37	83	43	473	477	91	176	179	141	161	203	267	320	364
Mean Score		3.21	3.15	3.39	3.12	3.27	3.14	2.97	2.95	3.24	3.26	3.33	3.37	2.95	3.25	3.35
			c		b					ab	ab	ab		a	a	
Standard Deviation		.770	.952	.635	.801	.743	.791	.924	.806	.717	.719	.749	.678	.847	.717	.710
Standard Error		.025	.156	.069	.119	.035	.035	.100	.061	.052	.064	.059	.046	.052	.040	.037
Error variance		*	.02	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Public perceptions on wolf protection - Poland - Fieldwork Conducted 11th to the 17th of Feb 2020

Q5_5. Wolves improve the overall health of populations of animals lower down the food chain (e.g. deer or hares) by killing off those that are diseased, weak or old: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

BASE: All respondents

		Diet							
		Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	
		a	b	c	*d	*e	*f	*g	
Significance Level: 95%									
Unweighted Total		1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15
Total		1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strongly agree	(4.0)	367	290	67	45	5	7	8	3
		35%	34%	39%	41%	37%	45%	40%	19%
Tend to agree	(3.0)	444	363	74	42	5	7	10	9
		42%	43%	43%	39%	39%	42%	55%	59%
Tend to disagree	(2.0)	107	88	15	11	3	-	1	-
		10%	10%	9%	10%	24%	-	5%	-
Strongly disagree	(1.0)	32	28	3	2	-	-	-	1
		3%	3%	2%	2%	-	-	-	7%
Don't know		97	82	14	9	-	2	-	2
		9%	10%	8%	8%	-	13%	-	14%
NET: Agree		811	654	141	87	10	14	18	12
		77%	77%	81%	79%	76%	87%	95%	79%
NET: Disagree		139	116	19	14	3	-	1	1
		13%	14%	11%	12%	24%	-	5%	7%
Base for stats		950	770	160	101	12	14	19	13
Mean Score		3.21	3.19	3.28	3.29	3.13	3.52	3.36	3.06
Standard Deviation		.770	.776	.722	.752	.799	.518	.586	.776
Standard Error		.025	.028	.057	.075	.222	.139	.134	.215
Error variance		*	*	*	.01	.05	.02	.02	.05